

# **FRP Rollers**

Figure 17.3. Typical FRP Rollers

## Subpart XXXX—National Emissions Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants: Rubber Tire Manufacturing

Source: 67 FR 45598, July 9, 2002, unless otherwise noted.

#### WHAT THIS SUBPART COVERS

## \$63.5980 What is the purpose of this subpart?

This subpart establishes national emission standards for hazardous air pollutants (NESHAP) for rubber tire manufacturing. This subpart also establishes requirements to demonstrate

initial and continuous compliance with the emission limitations.

#### §63.5981 Am I subject to this subpart?

- (a) You are subject to this subpart if you own or operate a rubber tire manufacturing facility that is located at, or is a part of, a major source of hazardous air pollutant (HAP) emissions.
- (1) Rubber tire manufacturing includes the production of rubber tires and/or the production of components integral to rubber tires, the production of tire cord, and the application of puncture sealant. Components of rubber tires include, but are not limited to, rubber compounds, sidewalls, tread, tire beads, tire cord and liners. Other components often associated with rubber tires but not integral to the tire, such as wheels, inner tubes, tire bladders, and valve stems, are not components of rubber tires or tire cord and are not subject to this subpart.
- (2) A major source of HAP emissions is any stationary source or group of stationary sources within a contiguous area and under common control that emits or has the potential to emit considering controls, in the aggregate, any single HAP at a rate of 9.07 megagrams (10 tons) or more per year or any combination of HAP at a rate of 22.68 megagrams (25 tons) or more per year.
- (b) You are not subject to this subpart if the affected source at your rubber tire manufacturing facility meets either of the conditions described in paragraph (b)(1) or (2) of this section.
- (1) You own or operate a tire cord production affected source, but the primary product produced at the affected source is determined to be subject to another subpart under this part 63 as of the effective date of that subpart (publication date of the final rule) or startup of the source, whichever is later. In this case, you must determine which subpart applies to your source and you must be in compliance with the applicable subpart by the compliance date of that subpart. The primary product is the product that is produced for the greatest operating time over a 5-year period, based on expected utilization for the 5 years following the compliance date or following initial startup of the source, whichever is later.

(2) Your rubber tire manufacturing affected source is a research and development facility whose primary purpose is to conduct research and development into new processes and products, where such source is operated under the close supervision of technically trained personnel and is not engaged in the manufacture of products for commercial sale in commerce, except in a de minimis manner.

## § 63.5982 What parts of my facility does this subpart cover?

- (a) This subpart applies to each existing, new, or reconstructed affected source at facilities engaged in the manufacture of rubber tires or their components.
- (b) The affected sources are defined in paragraph (b)(1) of this section (tire production), paragraph (b)(2) of this section (tire cord production), paragraph (b)(3) of this section (puncture sealant application), and paragraph (b)(4) of this section (rubber processing).
- (1) The tire production affected source is the collection of all processes that use or process cements and solvents as defined in §63.6015, located at any rubber tire manufacturing facility. It includes, but is not limited to: Storage and mixing vessels and the transfer equipment containing cements and/or solvents; wastewater handling and treatment operations; tread and cement operations; tire painting operations: ink and finish operations: undertread cement operations; process equipment cleaning materials; bead cementing operations; tire building operations; green tire spray operations; extruding, to the extent cements and solvents are used; cement house operations; marking operations; calendar operations, to the extent solvents are used; tire striping operations; tire repair operations; slab dip operations; other tire building operations, to the extent that cements and solvents are used; and balance pad operations.
- (2) The tire cord production affected source is the collection of all processes engaged in the production of tire cord. It includes, but is not limited to: dipping operations, drying ovens, heat-set

ovens, bulk storage tanks, mixing facilities, general facility vents, air pollution control devices, and warehouse storage vents.

- (3) The puncture sealant application affected source is the puncture sealant application booth operation used to apply puncture sealant to finished tires.
- (4) The rubber processing affected source is the collection of all rubber mixing processes (e.g., banburys and associated drop mills) that either mix compounds or warm rubber compound before the compound is processed into components of rubber tires. The mixed rubber compound itself is also included in the rubber processing affected source. There are no emission limitations or other requirements for the rubber processing affected source.
- (c) An affected source is a new affected source if construction of the affected source commenced after October 18, 2000, and it met the applicability criteria of §63.5981 at the time construction commenced.
- (d) An affected source is reconstructed if it meets the criteria as defined in §63.2.
- (e) An affected source is existing if it is not new or reconstructed.

## §63.5983 When do I have to comply with this subpart?

- (a) If you have a new or reconstructed affected source, except as provided in §§63.5982(b)(4) and 63.5981(b)(1), you must comply with the emission limitations for new and reconstructed sources in this subpart upon startup.
- (b) If you have an existing affected source, you must comply with the emission limitations for existing sources no later than July 11, 2005.
- (c) If you have an area source that increases its emissions or its potential to emit such that it becomes a major source of HAP, the affected source(s) must be in compliance with existing source emission limitations no later than 3 years after the date on which the area source became a major source.
- (d) You must meet the notification requirements in §63.6009 according to the schedule in §63.6009 and in subpart A of this part. Some of the notifications must be submitted before the date you are required to comply with

the emission limitations in this subpart.

EMISSION LIMITS FOR TIRE PRODUCTION AFFECTED SOURCES

## § 63.5984 What emission limits must I meet for tire production affected sources?

You must meet each emission limit in either option 1 or option 2 of Table 1 to this subpart that applies to you.

## § 63.5985 What are my alternatives for meeting the emission limits for tire production affected sources?

You must use one of the compliance alternatives in paragraphs (a) through (c) of this section to meet either of the emission limits in §63.5984.

- (a) Purchase alternative. Use only cements and solvents that, as purchased, contain no more HAP than allowed by the emission limits in Table 1 to this subpart, option 1 (HAP constituent option).
- (b) Monthly average alternative, without using an add-on control device. Use cements and solvents in such a way that the monthly average HAP emissions do not exceed the emission limits in Table 1 to this subpart, option 1 or option 2.
- (c) Monthly average alternative, using an add-on control device. Use a control device to reduce HAP emissions so that the monthly average HAP emissions do not exceed the emission limits in Table 1 to this subpart, option 1 or option 2.

EMISSION LIMITS FOR TIRE CORD PRODUCTION AFFECTED SOURCES

## § 63.5986 What emission limits must I meet for tire cord production affected sources?

You must meet each emission limit in either option 1 or option 2 of Table 2 to this subpart that applies to you.

# § 63.5987 What are my alternatives for meeting the emission limits for tire cord production affected sources?

You must use one of the compliance alternatives in paragraph (a) or (b) of this section to meet the emission limits in §63.5986.

(a) Monthly average alternative, without using an add-on control device. Use

coatings in such a way that the monthly average HAP emissions do not exceed the emission limits in Table 2 to this subpart.

(b) Monthly average alternative, using an add-on control device. Use a control device to reduce HAP emissions so that the monthly average HAP emissions do not exceed the emission limits in Table 2 to this subpart.

EMISSION LIMITATIONS FOR PUNCTURE SEALANT APPLICATION AFFECTED SOURCES

# § 63.5988 What emission limitations must I meet for puncture sealant application affected sources?

(a) You must meet each emission limit in either option 1 or option 2 of Table 3 to this subpart that applies to you.

(b) If you use an add-on control device to meet the emission limits in Table 3 to this subpart, you must also meet each operating limit in Table 4 to this subpart that applies to you.

# § 63.5989 What are my alternatives for meeting the emission limitations for puncture sealant application affected sources?

You must use one of the compliance alternatives in paragraphs (a) through (d) of this section to meet the emission limitations in §63.5988.

- (a) Overall control efficiency alternative. Use an emissions capture system and control device and demonstrate that the application booth emissions meet the emission limits in Table 3 to this subpart, option 1a or 1b, and the control device and capture system meet the operating limits in Table 4 to this subpart.
- (b) Permanent total enclosure and control device efficiency alternative. Use a permanent total enclosure that satisfies the Method 204 criteria in 40 CFR part 51, appendix M. Demonstrate that the control device meets the emission limits in Table 3 to this subpart, option 1a or 1b. You must also show that the control device and capture system meet the operating limits in Table 4 to this subpart.
- (c) Monthly average alternative, without using an add-on control device. Use puncture sealants in such a way that the monthly average HAP emissions do

not exceed the emission limits in Table 3 to this subpart, option 2.

(d) Monthly average alternative, using an add-on control device. Use a control device to reduce HAP emissions so that monthly average HAP emissions do not exceed the emission limits in Table 3 to this subpart, option 2.

GENERAL COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

## § 63.5990 What are my general requirements for complying with this subpart?

- (a) You must be in compliance with the applicable emission limitations specified in Tables 1 through 4 to this subpart at all times, except during periods of startup, shutdown, and malfunction if you are using a control device to comply with an emission limit.
- (b) Except as provided in §63.5982(b)(4), you must always operate and maintain your affected source, including air pollution control and monitoring equipment, according to the provisions in §63.6(e)(1)(i).
- (c) During the period between the compliance date specified for your source in §63.5983 and the date upon which continuous compliance monitoring systems (CMS) have been installed and validated and any applicable operating limits have been set, you must maintain a log detailing the operation and maintenance of the process and emission control equipment.
- (d) For each affected source that complies with the emission limits in Tables 1 through 3 to this subpart using a control device, you must develop a written startup, shutdown, and malfunction plan according to the provisions in §63.6(e)(3).
- (e) For each monitoring system required in this section, you must develop and submit for approval a site-specific monitoring plan that addresses the requirements in paragraphs (e)(1) through (3) of this section as follows:
- (1) Installation of the CMS sampling probe or other interface at a measurement location relative to each affected process unit so that the measurement is representative of control of the exhaust emissions (e.g., on or downstream of the last control device):
- (2) Performance and equipment specifications for the sample interface, the pollutant concentration or parametric

signal analyzer, and the data collection and reduction system; and

- (3) Performance evaluation procedures and acceptance criteria (e.g., calibrations).
- (f) In your site-specific monitoring plan, you must also address the ongoing procedures specified in paragraphs (f)(1) through (3) of this section as follows:
- (1) Ongoing operation and maintenance procedures in accordance with the general requirements of §63.8(c)(1), (3), (4)(ii), (7), and (8), and this section;
- (2) Ongoing data quality assurance procedures in accordance with the general requirements of §63.8(d); and
- (3) Ongoing recordkeeping and reporting procedures in accordance with the general requirements of §63.10(c), (e)(1), and (e)(2)(i).

 $[67 \ FR \ 45598, \ July \ 9, \ 2002, \ as \ amended \ at \ 71 \ FR \ 20466, \ Apr. \ 20, \ 2006]$ 

GENERAL TESTING AND INITIAL COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

# § 63.5991 By what date must I conduct an initial compliance demonstration or performance test?

- (a) If you have a new or reconstructed affected source, you must conduct each required initial compliance demonstration or performance test within 180 calendar days after the compliance date that is specified for your new or reconstructed affected source in §63.5983(a). If you are required to conduct a performance test, you must do so according to the provisions of §63.7(a)(2).
- (b) If you have an existing affected source, you must conduct each required initial compliance demonstration or performance test no later than the compliance date that is specified for your existing affected source in \$63.5983(b). If you are required to conduct a performance test, you must do so according to the provisions of \$63.7(a)(2).
- (c) If you commenced construction or reconstruction between October 18, 2000 and July 9, 2002, you must demonstrate initial compliance with either the proposed emission limitations or the promulgated emission limitations no later than January 6, 2003, or within 180 calendar days after startup of the source,

whichever is later, according to §63.7(a)(2)(ix).

(d) If you commenced construction or reconstruction between October 18, 2000 and July 9, 2002, and you chose to comply with the proposed emission limitation when demonstrating initial compliance, you must conduct a second compliance demonstration for the promulgated emission limitation no later than January 5, 2006, or after startup of the source, whichever is later, according to §63.7(a)(2)(ix).

## § 63.5992 When must I conduct subsequent performance tests?

If you use a control system (add-on control device and capture system) to meet the emission limitations, you must also conduct a performance test at least once every 5 years following your initial compliance demonstration to verify control system performance and reestablish operating parameters or operating limits for control systems used to comply with the emissions limits.

## § 63.5993 What performance tests and other procedures must I use?

- (a) If you use a control system to meet the emission limitations, you must conduct each performance test in Table 5 to this subpart that applies to you.
- (b) Each performance test must be conducted according to the requirements in §63.7(e)(1) and under the specific conditions specified in Table 5 to this subpart.
- (c) You may not conduct performance tests during periods of startup, shutdown, or malfunction, as specified in §63.7(e)(1).
- (d) You must conduct three separate test runs for each performance test required in this section, as specified in §63.7(e)(1), unless otherwise specified in the test method. Each test run must last at least 1 hour.
- (e) If you are complying with the emission limitations using a control system, you must also conduct performance tests according to the requirements in paragraphs (e)(1) through (3) of this section as they apply to you.
- (1) Determining capture efficiency of permanent or temporary total enclosure.

Determine the capture efficiency of a capture system by using one of the procedures in Table 5 to this subpart.

(2) Determining capture efficiency of an alternative method. As an alternative to constructing a permanent or temporary total enclosure, you may determine the capture efficiency using any capture efficiency protocol and test methods if the data satisfy the criteria of either the Data Quality Objective or the Lower Confidence Limit approach in appendix A to subpart KK of this part.

(3) Determining efficiency of an add-on control device. Use Table 5 to this subpart to select the test methods for determining the efficiency of an add-on control device.

TESTING AND INITIAL COMPLIANCE RE-QUIREMENTS FOR TIRE PRODUCTION AFFECTED SOURCES

## § 63.5994 How do I conduct tests and procedures for tire production affected sources?

(a) Methods to determine the mass percent of HAP in cements and solvents. To determine the HAP content in the cements and solvents used at your tire production affected source, use EPA Method 311 of appendix A of this part, an approved alternative method, or any other reasonable means for determining the HAP content of your cements and solvents. Other reasonable means include, but are not limited to: a material safety data sheet (MSDS), provided it contains appropriate information; a certified product data sheet (CPDS); or a manufacturer's hazardous

air pollutant data sheet. You are not required to test the materials that you use, but the Administrator may require a test using EPA Method 311 (or an approved alternative method) to confirm the reported HAP content. If the results of an analysis by EPA Method 311 are different from the HAP content determined by another means, the EPA Method 311 results will govern compliance determinations.

(b) Methods to demonstrate compliance with the HAP constituent emission limits in Table 1 to this subpart (option 1). Use the method in paragraph (b)(1) of this section to demonstrate initial and continuous compliance with the applicable emission limits for tire production affected sources using the compliance alternative described in §63.5985(a), purchase alternative. Use the equations in paragraphs (b)(2) and (3) of this section to demonstrate initial and continuous compliance with the emission limits for tire production affected sources using the monthly average compliance alternatives described in §63.5985(b) and

(1) Determine the mass percent of each HAP in each cement and solvent according to the procedures in paragraph (a) of this section.

(2) Use Equation 1 of this section to calculate the HAP emission rate for each monthly operating period when complying by using cements and solvents without using an add-on control device so that the monthly average HAP emissions do not exceed the HAP constituent emission limits in Table 1 to this subpart, option 1. Equation 1 follows:

$$E_{month} = \frac{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} (HAP_i)(TMASS_i)\right)(10^6)}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} TMASS_i}$$
 (Eq. 1)

Where:

 $E_{month}$  = mass of the specific HAP emitted per total mass cements and solvents from all cements and solvents used in tire production per month, grams per megagram.

 $HAP_i = mass\ percent,\ expressed\ as\ a\ decimal,$  of the specific HAP in cement and solvent i, as purchased, determined in accordance with paragraph (a) of this section

TMASS<sub>i</sub> = total mass of cement and solvent i used in the month, grams.

n=number of cements and solvents used in the month.

(3) Use Equation 2 of this section to calculate the HAP emission rate for each monthly period when complying

by using a control device to reduce HAP emissions so that the monthly average HAP emissions do not exceed the HAP constituent emission limits in Table 1 to this subpart (option 1). Equation 2 follows:

$$E_{month} = \frac{\left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{n} (HAP_{i})(TMASS_{i}) + \sum_{j=1}^{m} (HAP_{j})(TMASS_{j}) \left(1 - \frac{EFF}{100}\right) + \sum_{k=1}^{p} (HAP_{k})(TMASS_{k}) \right\} (10^{6})}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} TMASS_{i} + \sum_{j=1}^{m} TMASS_{j} + \sum_{k=1}^{p} TMASS_{k}}$$
(Eq. 2)

Where:

$$\begin{split} E_{month} = mass \ of \ the \ specific \ HAP \ emitted \ per \\ total \ mass \ cements \ and \ solvents \ from \ all \\ cements \ and \ solvents \ used \ in \ tire \ production \ per \ month, \ grams \ per \ megagram. \end{split}$$

HAP: = mass percent, expressed as a decimal, of the specific HAP in cement and solvent i, as purchased, determined in accordance with paragraph (a) of this section for cements and solvents used in the month in processes that are not routed to a control device.

TMASS<sub>i</sub> = total mass of cement and solvent i used in the month in processes that are not routed to a control device, grams.

n=number of cements and solvents used in the month in processes that are not routed to a control device.

 ${\rm HAP_j}$  = mass percent, expressed as a decimal, of the specific HAP in cement and solvent j, as purchased, determined in accordance with paragraph (a) of this section, for cements and solvents used in the month in processes that are routed to a control device during operating days, which are defined as days when the control system is operating within the operating range established during the performance test and when monitoring data are collected.

TMASS; = total mass of cement and solvent j used in the month in processes that are routed to a control device during all operating days, grams.

EFF=efficiency of the control system determined during the performance test (capture system efficiency multiplied by the control device efficiency), percent.

m=number of cements and solvents used in the month that are routed to a control device during all operating days.

 ${\rm HAP_k} = {\rm mass}$  percent, expressed as a decimal, of the specific HAP in cement and solvent k, as purchased, for cements and solvents used in the month in processes that are routed to a control device during non-control operating days, which

are defined as days when either the control system is not operating within the operating range established during the performance test or when monitoring data are not collected.

TMASS<sub>k</sub> = total mass of cement and solvent k used in the month in processes that are routed to a control device during all noncontrol operating days, grams.

p=number of cements and solvents used in the month that are routed to a control device during all non-control operating days.

(4) Each monthly calculation is a compliance demonstration for the purpose of this subpart.

(c) Methods to demonstrate compliance with the production-based emission limits in Table 1 to this subpart, option 2. Use the methods and equations in paragraphs (c)(1) through (6) of this section to demonstrate initial and continuous compliance with the production-based emission limits for tire production affected sources using the compliance alternatives described in §63.5985(b) and

(1) Methods to determine the mass percent of each HAP in cements and solvents. Determine the mass percent of all HAP in cements and solvents using the applicable methods specified in paragraph (a) of this section.

(2) Quantity of rubber used. Determine your quantity of rubber used (megagrams) by accounting for the total mass of mixed rubber compound that is delivered to the tire production operation.

(3) Compliance without use of an addon control device. If you do not use an add-on control device to meet the emission limits, use Equation 3 of this

section to calculate the monthly HAP emission rate in grams of HAP emitted per megagram of rubber used, using the quantity of rubber used per month (megagrams), as determined in paragraph (c)(2) of this section so that the monthly average HAP emission does not exceed the HAP emission limit in Table 1 to this subpart, option 2. Equation 3 follows:

$$E_{month} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (HAP_i)(TMASS_i)}{RMASS}$$
 (Eq. 3.)

Where:

 $E_{month}$  = mass of all HAP emitted per total mass of rubber used month, grams per megagram.

HAP<sub>i</sub> = mass percent, expressed as a decimal, of all HAP in cement and solvent i, as

purchased, determined in accordance with paragraph (a) of this section.

 $TMASS_i$  = total mass of cement and solvent i used in the month, grams.

n=number of cements and solvents used in the month.

RMASS=total mass of rubber used per month, megagrams.

(4) Compliance with use of an add-on control device. If you use a control device to meet the emission limits, use Equation 4 of this section to calculate the monthly HAP emission rate in grams of HAP emitted per megagram of rubber used, using the quantity of rubber used per month (megagrams), as determined in paragraph (c)(2) of this section so that the monthly average HAP emission does not exceed the HAP emission limit in Table 1 of this subpart, option 2. Equation 4 follows:

$$E_{month} = \frac{\displaystyle\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(HAP_{i}\right)\!\!\left(TMASS_{i}\right) + \displaystyle\sum_{j=1}^{m}\!\left(HAP_{j}\right)\!\!\left(TMASS_{j}\right)\!\!\left(1 - \frac{EFF}{100}\right) + \displaystyle\sum_{k=1}^{p}\!\left(HAP_{k}\right)\!\!\left(TMASS_{k}\right)}{RMASS} \tag{Eq. 4}$$

Where:

 $E_{month}$  = mass of all HAP emitted per total mass rubber used per month, grams per megagram.

HAP<sub>i</sub> = mass percent, expressed as a decimal, of all HAP in cement and solvent i, as purchased, determined in accordance with paragraph (a) of this section for cements and solvents used in the month in processes that are not routed to a control device.

$$\begin{split} TMASS_i &= total \ mass \ of \ cement \ and \ solvent \\ i \ used \ in \ the \ month \ in \ processes \ that \ are \\ not \ routed \ to \ a \ control \ device, \ grams. \end{split}$$

n=number of cements and solvents used in the month in processes that are not routed to a control device.

 ${\rm HAP_j}={\rm mass}$  percent, expressed as a decimal, of all HAP in cement and solvent j, as purchased, determined in accordance with paragraph (a) of this section, for cements and solvents used in the month in processes that are routed to a control device during operating days, which are defined as days when the control system is operating within the operating range established during the performance test and when monitoring data are collected.

 ${
m TMASS}_j = {
m total\ mass\ of\ cement\ and\ solvent}$  j used in the month in processes that are routed to a control device during all operating days.

EFF=efficiency of the control system determined during the performance test (capture system efficiency multiplied by the control device efficiency), percent.

m=number of cements and solvents used in the month that are routed to a control device during all operating days.

 ${\rm HAP_k}={\rm mass}$  percent, expressed as a decimal, of all HAP in cement and solvent k, as purchased, for cements and solvents used in the month in processes that are routed to a control device during non-control operating days, which are defined as days when either the control system is not operating within the operating range established during the performance test or when monitoring data are not collected.

 $TMASS_k$  = total mass of cement and solvent k used in the month in processes that are routed to a control device during all noncontrol operating days, grams.

p=number of cements and solvents used in the month that are routed to a control device during all non-control operating days.

RMASS=total mass of rubber used per month, megagrams.

(5) Each monthly calculation is a compliance demonstration for the purpose of this subpart.

(d) Specific compliance demonstration requirements for tire production affected

sources. (1) Conduct any required compliance demonstration according to the requirements in §63.5993.

- (2) If you are demonstrating compliance with the HAP constituent option in Table 1 to this subpart, option 1, conduct the compliance demonstration using cements and solvents that are representative of cements and solvents typically used at your tire production affected source.
- (3) Establish an operating range that corresponds to the control efficiency as described in Table 5 to this subpart.
- (e) How to take credit for HAP emissions reductions from add-on control devices. If you want to take credit in Equations 2 and 4 of this section for HAP emissions reduced using a control system, you must meet the requirements in paragraphs (e)(1) and (2) of this section.
- (1) Monitor the established operating parameters as appropriate.
- (i) If you use a thermal oxidizer, monitor the firebox secondary chamber temperature.
- (ii) If you use a carbon adsorber, monitor the total regeneration stream mass or volumetric flow for each regeneration cycle, and the carbon bed temperature after each regeneration, and within 15 minutes of completing any cooling cycle.
- (iii) If you use a control device other than a thermal oxidizer or a regenerative carbon adsorber, install and operate a continuous parameter monitoring system according to your site-specific performance test plan submitted according to §63.7(c)(2)(i).
- (iv) If you use a permanent total enclosure, monitor the face velocity across the natural draft openings (NDO) in the enclosure. Also, if you use an enclosure, monitor to ensure that the sizes of the NDO have not changed, that there are no new NDO, and that a HAP emission source has not been moved closer to an NDO since the last compliance demonstration was conducted.
- (v) If you use other capture systems, monitor the parameters identified in your monitoring plan.
- (2) Maintain the operating parameters within the operating range established during the compliance demonstration.

(f) How to take credit for HAP emissions reductions when streams are combined. When performing material balances to demonstrate compliance, if the storage of materials, exhaust, or the wastewater from more than one affected source are combined at the point where control systems are applied, any credit for emissions reductions needs to be prorated among the affected sources based on the ratio of their contribution to the uncontrolled emissions.

[67 FR 45598, July 9, 2002, as amended at 68 FR 11747, Mar. 12, 2003]

## § 63.5995 What are my monitoring installation, operation, and maintenance requirements?

- (a) For each operating parameter that you are required by \$63.5994(e)(1) to monitor, you must install, operate, and maintain a continuous parameter monitoring system (CPMS) according to the requirements in \$63.5990(e) and (f) and in paragraphs (a)(1) through (6) of this section.
- (1) You must operate your CPMS at all times that the process is operating.
- (2) You must collect data from at least four equally spaced periods each hour.
- (3) For at least 75 percent of the hours in an operating day, you must have valid data (as defined in your site-specific monitoring plan) for at least four equally spaced periods each hour.
- (4) For each hour that you have valid data from at least four equally spaced periods, you must calculate the hourly average value using all valid data.
- (5) You must calculate the daily average using all of the hourly averages calculated according to paragraph (a)(3) of this section for the 24-hour period.
- (6) You must record the results for each inspection, calibration, and validation check as specified in your site-specific monitoring plan.
- (b) For each temperature monitoring device, you must meet the requirements in paragraphs (a) and (b)(1) through (8) of this section.
- (1) Locate the temperature sensor in a position that provides a representative temperature.
- (2) For a non-cryogenic temperature range, use a temperature sensor with a minimum measurement sensitivity of

- 2.2 degrees centigrade or 0.75 percent of the temperature value, whichever is larger.
- (3) For a cryogenic temperature range, use a temperature sensor with a minimum measurement sensitivity of 2.2 degrees centigrade or 2 percent of the temperature value, whichever is larger.
- (4) Shield the temperature sensor system from electromagnetic interference and chemical contaminants.
- (5) If a chart recorder is used, it must have a sensitivity in the minor division of at least 20 degrees Fahrenheit.
- (6) Perform an electronic calibration at least semiannually according to the procedures in the manufacturer's owners manual. Following the electronic calibration, you must conduct a temperature sensor validation check in which a second or redundant temperature sensor placed near the process temperature sensor must yield a reading within 16.7 degrees centigrade of the process temperature sensor's reading.
- (7) Conduct calibration and validation checks any time the sensor exceeds the manufacturer's specified maximum operating temperature range or install a new temperature sensor.
- (8) At least monthly, inspect all components for integrity and all electrical connections for continuity, oxidation, and galvanic corrosion.
- (c) For each integrating regeneration stream flow monitoring device associated with a carbon adsorber, you must meet the requirements in paragraphs (a) and (c)(1) and (2) of this section.
- (1) Use a device that has an accuracy of ±10 percent or better.
- (2) Use a device that is capable of recording the total regeneration stream mass or volumetric flow for each regeneration cycle.
- (d) For any other control device, or for other capture systems, ensure that the CPMS is operated according to a monitoring plan submitted to the Administrator with the compliance status report required by §63.9(h). The monitoring plan must meet the requirements in paragraphs (a) and (d)(1) through (3) of this section. Conduct monitoring in accordance with the plan submitted to the Administrator unless comments received from the Adminis-

trator require an alternate monitoring scheme.

- (1) Identify the operating parameter to be monitored to ensure that the control or capture efficiency measured during the initial compliance test is maintained.
- (2) Discuss why this parameter is appropriate for demonstrating ongoing compliance.
- (3) Identify the specific monitoring procedures.
- (e) For each pressure differential monitoring device, you must meet the requirements in paragraphs (a) and (e)(1) and (2) of this section.
- (1) Conduct a quarterly EPA Method 2 procedure (found in 40 CFR part 60, appendix A) on the applicable NDOs and use the results to calibrate the pressure monitor if the difference in results are greater than 10 percent.
- (2) Inspect the NDO monthly to ensure that their size has not changed, that there are no new NDO, and that no HAP sources have been moved closer to the NDO than when the last performance test was conducted.

# § 63.5996 How do I demonstrate initial compliance with the emission limits for tire production affected sources?

- (a) You must demonstrate initial compliance with each emission limit that applies to you according to Table 6 to this subpart.
- (b) You must submit the Notification of Compliance Status containing the results of the initial compliance demonstration according to the requirements in §63.6009(e).

TESTING AND INITIAL COMPLIANCE RE-QUIREMENTS FOR TIRE CORD PRODUC-TION AFFECTED SOURCES

## § 63.5997 How do I conduct tests and procedures for tire cord production affected sources?

(a) Methods to determine the mass percent of each HAP in coatings. (1) To determine the HAP content in the coating used at your tire cord production affected source, use EPA Method 311 of appendix A of this part, an approved alternative method, or any other reasonable means for determining the HAP content of your coatings. Other reasonable means include, but are not limited

to: an MSDS, provided it contains appropriate information; a CPDS; or a manufacturer's HAP data sheet. You are not required to test the materials that you use, but the Administrator may require a test using EPA Method 311 (or an approved alternative method) to confirm the reported HAP content. If the results of an analysis by EPA Method 311 are different from the HAP content determined by another means, the EPA Method 311 results will govern compliance determinations.

- (2) Unless you demonstrate otherwise, the HAP content analysis must be based on coatings prior to any crosslinking reactions, *i.e.*, curing. However, you may account for differences in HAP emissions resulting from chemical reactions based on the conversion rates of the individual coating formulations, chemistry demonstrations, or other demonstrations that are verifiable to the approving agency. Use the revised value in your compliance demonstration in the relevant equations in paragraph (b) of this section.
- (b) Methods to determine compliance with the emission limits in Table 2 to this subpart, option 1. Use the equations in this paragraph (b) to demonstrate initial and continuous compliance with the emission limits for tire cord production sources using the compliance alternatives described in §63.5987(a) and (b)
- (1) Determine mass percent of HAP. Determine the mass percent of all HAP in each coating according to the procedures in paragraph (a) of this section.
- (2) Compliance without use of an addon control device. If you do not use an

add-on control device to meet the emission limits, use Equation 1 of this section to calculate the monthly HAP emission rate in grams of HAP emitted per megagram of fabric processed at the tire cord production source to show that the monthly average HAP emissions do not exceed the emission limits in Table 2 to this subpart, option 1. Equation 1 follows:

$$E_{month} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (HAP_i)(TCOAT_i)}{TFAB}$$
 (Eq. 1)

Where:

E<sub>month</sub> = mass of all HAP emitted per total mass of fabric processed in the month, grams per megagram.

 ${
m HAP_i}={
m mass\ percent}, {
m\ expressed\ as\ a\ decimal,}$  of all HAP in the coating i, prior to curing and including any application station dilution, determined in accordance with paragraph (a) of this section.

 $TCOAT_i$  = total mass of coating i made and used for application to fabric at the facility in the month, grams.

n=number of coatings used in the month.

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{TFAB}}\xspace = \mathsf{total}$  mass of fabric processed in the month, megagrams.

(3) Compliance with use of an add-on control device. If you use a control device to meet the emission limits, use Equation 2 of this section to calculate the monthly HAP emission rate in grams of HAP emitted per megagram of fabric processed to show that the monthly average HAP emissions do not exceed the HAP emission limit in Table 2 of this subpart, option 1. Equation 2 follows:

$$E_{month} = \frac{\displaystyle\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(HAP_{i}\right)\!\!\left(TCOAT_{i}\right) + \sum_{j=1}^{m} \left(HAP_{j}\right)\!\!\left(TCOAT_{j}\right)\!\!\left(1 - \frac{EFF}{100}\right) + \sum_{k=1}^{p} \left(HAP_{k}\right)\!\!\left(TCOAT_{k}\right)}{TF\Delta R} \tag{Eq. 2}$$

Where:

 $E_{month}$  = mass of all HAP emitted per total mass of fabric processed in the month, grams per megagram.

HAP; = mass percent, expressed as a decimal, of all HAP in coating i, prior to curing and including any application stations dilution, determined in accordance with paragraph (a) of this section, for coatings used in the month in processes that are not routed to a control device.

 $TCOAT_i = total mass of coating i made and used for application to fabric at the facility in the month in processes that are not routed to a control device, grams.$ 

n=number of coatings used in the month in processes that are not routed to a control device.

HAP<sub>j</sub> = mass percent, expressed as a decimal, of all HAP in coating j, prior to curing and including any application station dilution, determined in accordance with paragraph (a) of this section, for coatings used in the month in processes that are routed to a control device during operating days, which are defined as days when the control system is operating within the operating range established during the performance test and when monitoring data are collected.

 $TCOAT_j$  = total mass of coating j made and used for application to fabric at the facility in the month in processes that are routed to a control device during all operating days, grams.

EFF=efficiency of the control system determined during the performance test (capture system efficiency multiplied by the control device efficiency), percent.

m=number of coatings used in the month that are routed to a control device during all operating days.

HAP<sub>k</sub> = mass percent, expressed as a decimal, of all HAP in coating k, prior to curing and including any application station dilution, for coatings used in the month in processes that are routed to a control device during non-control operating days, which are defined as days when either the control system is not operating within the operating range established during the performance test or when monitoring data are not collected.

 $TCOAT_k$  = total mass of coating k made and used for application to fabric at the facil-

ity in the month in processes that are routed to a control device during all non-control operating days, grams.

p=number of coatings used in the month that are routed to a control device during all non-control operating days.

TFAB=total mass of fabric processed in the month, megagrams.

(4) Each monthly calculation is a compliance demonstration for the purpose of this subpart.

(c) Methods to determine compliance with the emission limits in table 2 of this subpart, option 2. Use the equations in this paragraph (c) to demonstrate initial and continuous compliance with the emission limits for tire cord production sources using the compliance alternatives described in §63.5987(a) and (b)

(1) Determine the mass percent of each HAP in each coating according to the procedures in paragraph (a) of this section.

(2) Use Equation 3 of this section to calculate the monthly average HAP emission rate when complying by using coatings without using an add-on control device to show that the monthly average HAP emissions do not exceed the emission limits in Table 2 to this subpart, option 2. Equation 3 follows:

$$E_{month} = \frac{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} (HAP_i)(TCOAT_i)\right)(10^6)}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} TCOAT_i}$$
 (Eq. 3)

Where:

$$\begin{split} E_{month} = mass \ of \ the \ specific \ HAP \ emitted \ per \\ total \ mass \ of \ coatings \ from \ all \ coatings \\ made \ and \ used \ in \ tire \ cord \ fabric \ production \ per \ month, \ grams \ per \ megagram. \end{split}$$

HAP<sub>i</sub> = mass percent, expressed as a decimal, of the specific HAP in the coating i, prior to curing and including any application station dilution, determined in accordance with paragraph (a) of this section.

TCOAT; = total mass of coating i made and used for application to fabric at the facility in the month, grams.

n=number of coatings used in the month.

(3) Use Equation 4 of this section to calculate the monthly average HAP emission rate when complying by using an add-on control device to show that the monthly average HAP emissions do not exceed the emission limits in table 2 to this subpart, option 2. Equation 4 follows:

$$E_{month} = \frac{\left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( HAP_{i} \right) \! \left( TCOAT_{i} \right) + \sum_{j=1}^{m} \! \left( HAP_{j} \right) \! \left( TCOAT_{j} \right) \! \left( 1 - \frac{EFF}{100} \right) + \sum_{k=1}^{p} \! \left( HAP_{k} \right) \! \left( TMASS_{k} \right) \right\} \! \left( 10^{6} \right)}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} TCOAT_{i} + \sum_{j=1}^{m} TCOAT_{j} + \sum_{k=1}^{p} TCOAT_{k}}$$
 (Eq. 4)

Where:

E<sub>month</sub> = mass of the specific HAP emitted per total mass of coatings from all coatings made and used in tire cord fabric production per month, grams per megagram.

HAP<sub>i</sub> = mass percent, expressed as a decimal, of the specific HAP in coating i, prior to curing and including any application station dilution, determined in accordance with paragraph (a) of this section, for coatings used in the month in processes that are not routed to a control device.

TCOAT; = total mass of coating i made and used for application to fabric at the facility in the month in processes that are not routed to a control device, grams.

n=number of coatings used in the month in processes that are not routed to a control device.

 ${\rm HAP_{j}}={\rm mass}$  percent, expressed as a decimal, of the specific HAP in coating j, prior to curing and including any application station dilution, determined in accordance with paragraph (a) of this section, for coatings used in the month in processes that are routed to a control device during operating days, which are defined as days when the control system is operating within the operating range established during the performance test and when monitoring data are collected.

TCOAT<sub>j</sub> = total mass of coating i made and used for application to fabric at the facility in the month in processes that are routed to a control device during all operating days, grams.

EFF=efficiency of the control system determined during the performance test (capture system efficiency multiplied by the control device efficiency), percent.

m=number of coatings used in the month that are routed to a control device during all operating days.

 ${\rm HAP_k}={\rm mass}$  percent, expressed as a decimal, of the specific HAP in coating k, prior to curing and including any application station dilution, for coatings used in the month in processes that are routed to a control device during non-control operating days, which are defined as days when either the control system is not operating within the operating range established during the performance test or when monitoring data are not collected.

 ${f TCOAT}_k=$  total mass of coating i made and used for application to fabric at the facility in the month in processes that are

routed to a control device during all noncontrol operating days, grams.

p = number of coatings used in the month that are routed to a control device during all non-control operating days.

(4) Each monthly calculation is a compliance demonstration for the purpose of this subpart.

(d) Specific compliance demonstration requirements for tire cord production affected sources. (1) Conduct any required compliance demonstrations according to the requirements in §63.5993.

(2) Conduct the compliance demonstration using coatings with average mass percent HAP content that are representative of the coatings typically used at your tire cord production affected source.

(3) Establish an operating range that corresponds to the control efficiency as described in Table 5 to this subpart.

(e) How to take credit for HAP emissions reductions from add-on control devices. If you want to take credit in Equations 2 and 4 of this section for HAP emissions reduced using a control system, you must meet the requirements in paragraphs (e)(1) and (2) of this section.

(1) Monitor the established operating parameters as appropriate.

(i) If you use a thermal oxidizer, continuously monitor the firebox secondary chamber temperature.

(ii) If you use a carbon adsorber, monitor the total regeneration stream mass or volumetric flow for each regeneration cycle and the carbon bed temperature after each regeneration and within 15 minutes of completing any cooling cycle.

(iii) If you use a control device other than a thermal oxidizer or a regenerative carbon adsorber, install and operate a continuous parameter monitoring system according to your site-specific performance test plan submitted according to §63.7(c)(2)(i).

(iv) If you use a permanent total enclosure, monitor the face velocity

across the NDO in the enclosure. Also, if you use an enclosure, monitor to ensure that the sizes of the NDO have not changed, that there are no new NDO, and that a HAP emission source has not been moved closer to an NDO since the last performance test was conducted.

- (v) If you use other capture systems, monitor the parameters identified in your monitoring plan.
- (2) Maintain the operating parameter within the operating range established during the compliance demonstration.
- (f) How to take credit for HAP emissions reductions when streams are combined. When performing material balances to demonstrate compliance, if the storage of materials, exhaust, or the wastewater from more than one affected source are combined at the point where control systems are applied, any credit for emissions reductions needs to be prorated among the affected sources based on the ratio of their contribution to the uncontrolled emissions.

## § 63.5998 What are my monitoring installation, operation, and maintenance requirements?

For each operating parameter that you are required by §63.5997(e)(1) to monitor, you must install, operate, and maintain a continuous parameter monitoring system according to the provisions in §63.5995(a) through (e).

# § 63.5999 How do I demonstrate initial compliance with the emission limits for tire cord production affected sources?

- (a) You must demonstrate initial compliance with each emission limit that applies to you according to Table 7 to this subpart.
- (b) You must submit the Notification of Compliance Status containing the results of the initial compliance demonstration according to the requirements in §63.6009(e).

TESTING AND INITIAL COMPLIANCE RE-QUIREMENTS FOR PUNCTURE SEALANT APPLICATION AFFECTED SOURCES

## § 63.6000 How do I conduct tests and procedures for puncture sealant application affected sources?

- (a) Methods to determine compliance with the puncture sealant application emission limitations in Table 3 to this subpart. Use the methods and equations in paragraph (b) of this section to demonstrate initial and continuous compliance with the overall control efficiency compliance alternatives described in §63.5989(a) and (b). Use the methods and equations in paragraphs (c) through (g) of this section to demonstrate initial and continuous compliance with the HAP constituent compliance alternative described in §63.5989(c) and (d).
- (b) Methods to determine compliance with the emission limits in Table 3 to this subpart, option 1. Follow the test procedures described in §63.5993 to determine the overall control efficiency of your system.
- (1) You must also meet the requirements in paragraphs (b)(1)(i) and (ii) of this section.
- (i) Conduct the performance test using a puncture sealant with an average mass percent HAP content that is representative of the puncture sealants typically used at your puncture sealant application affected source.
- (ii) Establish all applicable operating limit ranges that correspond to the control system efficiency as described in Table 5 to this subpart.
- (2) Use Equation 1 of this section to calculate the overall efficiency of the control system. If you have a permanent total enclosure that satisfies EPA Method 204 (found in 40 CFR part 51, appendix M) criteria, assume 100 percent capture efficiency for variable F. Equation 1 follows:

$$R = \frac{(F)(E)}{100}$$
 (Eq. 1)

Where:

R=overall control system efficiency, percent.
F=capture efficiency of the capture system
on add-on control device, percent, determined during the performance test.

E=control efficiency of add-on control device k, percent, determined during the performance test.

- (3) Monitor the established operating limits as appropriate.
- (i) If you use a thermal oxidizer, monitor the firebox secondary chamber temperature.
- (ii) If you use a carbon adsorber, monitor the total regeneration stream mass or volumetric flow for each regeneration cycle, and the carbon bed temperature after each regeneration, and within 15 minutes of completing any cooling cycle.
- (iii) For each control device used other than a thermal oxidizer or a regenerative carbon adsorber, install and operate a continuous parameter monitoring system according to your sitespecific performance test plan submitted according to §63.7(c)(2)(i).
- (iv) If you use a permanent total enclosure, monitor the face velocity across the NDO in the enclosure. Also, if you use an enclosure, monitor to ensure that the sizes of the NDO have not changed, that there are no new NDO, and that a HAP emission source has not been moved closer to an NDO since the last performance test was conducted.
- (v) If you use other capture systems, monitor the parameters identified in your monitoring plan.
- (vi) Maintain the operating parameter within the operating range established during the performance test.

(c) Methods to determine the mass percent of each HAP in puncture sealants. To determine the HAP content in the puncture sealant used at your puncture sealant application affected source, use EPA Method 311 of appendix A of 40 CFR part 63, an approved alternative method, or any other reasonable means for determining the HAP content of your puncture sealants. Other reasonable means include, but are not limited to: an MSDS, provided it contains appropriate information; a CPDS; or a manufacturer's hazardous air pollutant data sheet. You are not required to test the materials that you use, but the Administrator may require a test using EPA Method 311 (or an approved alternative method) to confirm the reported HAP content. If the results of an analysis by EPA Method 311 are different from the HAP content determined by another means, the EPA Method 311 results will govern compliance determinations.

- (d) Methods to determine compliance with the emission limits in Table 3 to this subpart, option 2. Use the equations in this paragraph (d) to demonstrate initial and continuous compliance with the HAP constituent emission limits for puncture sealant application affected sources using the compliance alternatives described in §63.5989(c) and (d).
- (1) Use Equation 2 of this section to calculate the monthly average HAP emission rate when complying by using puncture sealants without using an add-on control device to show that the monthly average HAP emissions do not exceed the emission limits in Table 3 to this subpart, option 2. Equation 2 follows:

$$E_{month} = \frac{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} (HAP_i)(TPSEAL_i)\right)(10^6)}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} TPSEAL_i}$$
 (Eq. 2)

Where:

 $E_{month}$  = mass of the specific HAP emitted per total mass of puncture sealants from all

puncture sealants used at the puncture sealant affected source per month, grams per megagram.

HAP<sub>i</sub> = mass percent, expressed as a decimal, of the specific HAP in puncture sealant i, including any application booth dilution, determined in accordance with paragraph (c) of this section.

 $\ensuremath{ ext{TPSEAL}}_i = ext{total mass of puncture sealant i}$  used in the month, grams.

n=number of puncture sealants used in the month.

(2) Use Equation 3 of this section to calculate the monthly average HAP emission rate when complying by using puncture sealants by using an add-on control device to show that the monthly average HAP emissions do not exceed the emission limits in Table 3 to this subpart, option 2. Equation 3 follows:

$$E_{month} = \frac{\left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{n} (HAP_i) (TPSEAL_i) + \sum_{j=1}^{m} (HAP_j) (TPSEAL_j) (1 - \frac{EFF}{100}) + \sum_{k=1}^{p} (HAP_k) (TPSEAL_k) \right\} (10^6)}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} TPSEAL_i + \sum_{i=1}^{m} TPSEAL_j + \sum_{k=1}^{p} TPSEAL_k}$$
(Eq. 3)

Where:

 $E_{month}$  = mass of the specific HAP emitted per total mass of puncture sealants used at the puncture sealant affected source per month, grams per megagram.

HAP<sub>i</sub> = mass percent, expressed as a decimal, of the specific HAP in puncture sealant i, including any application booth dilution, determined in accordance with paragraph (c) of this section for puncture sealants used in the month in processes that are not routed to a control device.

TPSEAL; = total mass of puncture sealant i used in the month in processes that are not routed to a control device, gram.

n=number of puncture sealants used in the month in processes that are not routed to a control device.

HAP<sub>j</sub> = mass percent, expressed as a decimal, of the specific HAP, in puncture sealant j, including any application booth dilution, determined in accordance with paragraph (c) of this section, for puncture sealants used in the month in processes that are routed to a control device during operating days, which are defined as days when the control system is operating within the operating range established during the performance test and when monitoring data are collected.

TPSEAL<sub>j</sub> = total mass of puncture sealant j used in the month in processes that are routed to a control device during all operating days, grams.

EFF=efficiency of the control system determined during the performance test (capture system efficiency multiplied by the control device efficiency), percent.

m=number of puncture sealants used in the month that are routed to a control device during all operating days.

 $HAP_k=$  mass percent, expressed as a decimal, of the specific HAP, in puncture sealant k, including any application booth dilution, for puncture sealants used in the

month in processes that are routed to a control device during non-control operating days, which are defined as days when either the control system is not operating within the operating range established during the performance test or when monitoring data are not collected.

 $ext{TPSEAL}_k = ext{total mass}$  of total mass of puncture sealant k used in the month in processes that are routed to a control device during all non-control operating days, grams.

p=number of puncture sealants used in the month that are routed to a control device during all non-control operating days.

(3) Each monthly calculation is a compliance demonstration for the purpose of this subpart.

(e) Specific compliance demonstration requirements for puncture sealant application affected sources. (1) Conduct any required compliance demonstrations according to the requirements in §63.5993.

(2) Conduct the compliance demonstration using a puncture sealant with average mass percent HAP content that is representative of the puncture sealants typically used at your puncture sealant application affected source.

(3) Establish an operating range that corresponds to the appropriate control efficiency described in Table 5 to this subpart.

(f) How to take credit for HAP emissions reductions from add-on control devices. If you want to take credit in Equation 3 of this section for HAP emissions reduced using a control system, you

must monitor the established operating parameters as appropriate and meet the requirements in paragraph (b)(3) of this section.

(g) How to take credit for HAP emissions reductions when streams are combined. When performing material balances to demonstrate compliance, if the storage of materials, exhaust, or the wastewater from more than one affected source are combined at the point where control systems are applied, any credit for emissions reductions needs to be prorated among the affected sources based on the ratio of their contribution to the uncontrolled emissions.

# § 63.6001 What are my monitoring installation, operation, and maintenance requirements?

For each operating limit that you are required by \$63.6000(b)(3) to monitor or each operating parameter that you are required by \$63.6000(f) to monitor, you must install, operate, and maintain a continuous parameter monitoring system according to the provisions in \$63.5995(a) through (e).

# § 63.6002 How do I demonstrate initial compliance with the emission limits for puncture sealant application affected sources?

- (a) You must demonstrate initial compliance with each emission limit that applies to you according to Table 8 to this subpart.
- (b) You must submit the Notification of Compliance Status containing the results of the initial compliance demonstration according to the requirements in §63.6009(e).

CONTINUOUS COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS FOR TIRE PRODUCTION AFFECTED SOURCES

#### § 63.6003 How do I monitor and collect data to demonstrate continuous compliance with the emission limits for tire production affected sources?

- (a) You must monitor and collect data as specified in Table 9 to this subpart.
- (b) Except for periods of monitoring malfunctions, associated repairs, and required quality assurance or control activities (including, as applicable,

calibration checks and required zero and span adjustments), you must monitor continuously (or collect data at all required intervals) while the affected source is operating. This includes periods of startup, shutdown, and malfunction when the affected source is operating.

(c) In data average calculations and calculations used to report emission or operating levels, you may not use data recorded during periods of monitoring malfunctions or associated repairs, or recorded during required quality assurance or control activities. Such data may not be used in fulfilling any applicable minimum data availability requirement. You must use all the data collected during all other periods in assessing the operation of the control device and associated control system.

# § 63.6004 How do I demonstrate continuous compliance with the emission limits for tire production affected sources?

- (a) You must demonstrate continuous compliance with each applicable limit in Table 1 to this subpart using the methods specified in Table 10 to this subpart.
- (b) You must report each instance in which you did not meet an emission limit in Table 1 to this subpart. You must also report each instance in which you did not meet the applicable requirements in Table 10 to this subpart. These instances are deviations from the emission limits in this subpart. The deviations must be reported in accordance with the requirements in §63.6010(e).
- (c) You also must meet the following requirements if you are complying with the purchase alternative for tire production sources described in §63.5985(a):
- (1) If, after you submit the Notification of Compliance Status, you use a cement or solvent for which you have not previously verified percent HAP mass using the methods in §63.5994(a), you must verify that each cement and solvent used in the affected source meets the emission limit, using any of the methods in §63.5994(a).
- (2) You must update the list of all the cements and solvents used at the affected source.

(3) With the compliance report for the reporting period during which you used the new cement or solvent, you must submit the updated list of all cements and solvents and a statement certifying that, as purchased, each cement and solvent used at the affected source during the reporting period met the emission limits in table 1 to this subpart.

CONTINUOUS COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS FOR TIRE CORD PRODUCTION AFFECTED SOURCES

#### § 63.6005 How do I monitor and collect data to demonstrate continuous compliance with the emission limits for tire cord production affected sources?

- (a) You must monitor and collect data to demonstrate continuous compliance with the emission limits for tire cord production affected sources as specified in table 11 to this subpart.
- (b) You must monitor and collect data according to the requirements in §63.6003(b) and (c).

# §63.6006 How do I demonstrate continuous compliance with the emission limits for tire cord production affected sources?

- (a) You must demonstrate continuous compliance with each applicable emission limit in table 2 to this subpart using the methods specified in table 12 to this subpart.
- (b) You must report each instance in which you did not meet an applicable emission limit in table 2 to this subpart. You must also report each instance in which you did not meet the applicable requirements in table 12 to this subpart. These instances are deviations from the emission limits in this subpart. The deviations must be reported in accordance with the requirements in §63.6010(e).

CONTINUOUS COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS FOR PUNCTURE SEALANT APPLICATION AFFECTED SOURCES

# § 63.6007 How do I monitor and collect data to demonstrate continuous compliance with the emission limitations for puncture sealant application affected sources?

(a) You must monitor and collect data to demonstrate continuous com-

pliance with the emission limitations for puncture sealant application affected sources as specified in table 13 to this subpart.

(b) You must monitor and collect data according to the requirements in §63.6003(b) and (c).

# § 63.6008 How do I demonstrate continuous compliance with the emission limitations for puncture sealant application affected sources?

- (a) You must demonstrate continuous compliance with each applicable emission limitation in tables 3 and 4 to this subpart using the methods specified in Table 14 to this subpart.
- (b) You must report each instance in which you did not meet an applicable emission limit in table 3 to this subpart. You must also report each instance in which you did not meet the applicable requirements in table 14 to this subpart. These instances are deviations from the emission limits in this subpart. The deviations must be reported in accordance with the requirements in §63.6010(e).

NOTIFICATIONS, REPORTS, AND RECORDS

## § 63.6009 What notifications must I submit and when?

- (a) You must submit all of the notifications in §§63.7 (b) and (c), 63.8(f) (4) and (6), and 63.9 (b) through (e) and (h) that apply to you by the dates specified.
- (b) As specified in §63.9(b)(2), if you startup your affected source before July 9, 2002, you must submit an Initial Notification not later than November 6, 2002.
- (c) As specified in §63.9(b)(3), if you startup your new or reconstructed affected source on or after July 9, 2002, you must submit an Initial Notification not later than 120 calendar days after you become subject to this subpart.
- (d) If you are required to conduct a performance test, you must submit a notification of intent to conduct a performance test at least 60 calendar days before the performance test is scheduled to begin as required in §63.7(b)(1).
- (e) If you are required to conduct a performance test, design evaluation, or other initial compliance demonstration as specified in tables 5 through 8 to

#### §63.6010

this subpart, you must submit a Notification of Compliance Status according to §63.9(h)(2)(ii). The Notification must contain the information listed in table 15 to this subpart for compliance reports. The Notification of Compliance Status must be submitted according to the following schedules, as appropriate:

- (1) For each initial compliance demonstration required in tables 6 through 8 to this subpart that does not include a performance test, you must submit the Notification of Compliance Status before the close of business on the 30th calendar day following the completion of the initial compliance demonstration.
- (2) For each initial compliance demonstration required in tables 6 through 8 to this subpart that includes a performance test conducted according to the requirements in table 5 to this subpart, you must submit the Notification of Compliance Status, including the performance test results, before the close of business on the 60th calendar day following the completion of the performance test according to §63.10(d)(2).
- (f) For each tire production affected source, the Notification of Compliance Status must also identify the emission limit option in §63.5984 and the compliance alternative in §63.5985 that you have chosen to meet.
- (g) For each tire production affected source complying with the purchase compliance alternative in §63.5985(a), the Notification of Compliance Status must also include the information listed in paragraphs (g)(1) and (2) of this section.
- (1) A list of each cement and solvent, as purchased, that is used at the affected source and the manufacturer or supplier of each.
- (2) The individual HAP content (percent by mass) of each cement and solvent that is used.
- (h) For each tire production or tire cord production affected source using a control device, the Notification of Compliance Status must also include the information in paragraphs (h) (1) and (2) of this section for each operating parameter in §§63.5994(e)(1) and 63.5997(e)(1) that applies to you.
- (1) The operating parameter value averaged over the full period of the

performance test (e.g., average secondary chamber firebox temperature over the period of the performance test was 1,500 degrees Fahrenheit).

- (2) The operating parameter range within which HAP emissions are reduced to the level corresponding to meeting the applicable emission limits in tables 1 and 2 to this subpart.
- (i) For each puncture sealant application affected source using a control device, the Notification of Compliance Status must include the information in paragraphs (i)(1) and (2) of this section for each operating limit in §63.6000(b)(3) and each operating parameter in §63.6000(f).
- (1) The operating limit or operating parameter value averaged over the full period of the performance test.
- (2) The operating limit or operating parameter range within which HAP emissions are reduced to the levels corresponding to meeting the applicable emission limitations in table 3 to this subpart.
- (j) For each tire cord production affected source required to assess the predominant use for coating web substrates as required by §63.5981(b), you must submit a notice of the results of the reassessment within 30 days of completing the reassessment. The notice shall specify whether this subpart XXXX is still the applicable subpart and, if it is not, which part 63 subpart is applicable.

## §63.6010 What reports must I submit and when?

- (a) You must submit each applicable report in table 15 to this subpart.
- (b) Unless the Administrator has approved a different schedule for submission of reports under §63.10(a), you must submit each report by the date in table 15 to this subpart and according to the requirements in paragraphs (b)(1) through (5) of this section.
- (1) The first compliance report must cover the period beginning on the compliance date that is specified for your affected source in §63.5983 and ending on June 30 or December 31, whichever date is the first date following the end of the first calendar half after the compliance date that is specified for your source in §63.5983.

- (2) The first compliance report must be postmarked or delivered no later than July 31 or January 31, whichever date follows the end of the first calendar half after the compliance date that is specified for your affected source in §63.5983.
- (3) Each subsequent compliance report must cover the semiannual reporting period from January 1 through June 30 or the semiannual reporting period from July 1 through December 31.
- (4) Each subsequent compliance report must be postmarked or delivered no later than July 31 or January 31, whichever date is the first date following the end of the semiannual reporting period.
- (5) For each affected source that is subject to permitting subparts pursuant to 40 CFR part 70 or 40 CFR part 71, and if the permitting authority has established dates for submitting semiannual reports pursuant to 40 CFR or70.6(a)(3)(iii)(A)40 CFR 71.6(a)(3)(iii)(A), you may submit the first and subsequent compliance reports according to the dates the permitting authority has established instead of according to the dates in paragraphs (b)(1) through (4) of this section.
- (c) The compliance report must contain information specified in paragraphs (c)(1) through (10) of this section.
  - (1) Company name and address.
- (2) Statement by a responsible official, with that official's name, title, and signature, certifying the accuracy of the content of the report.
- (3) Date of report and beginning and ending dates of the reporting period.
- (4) If you had a startup, shutdown or malfunction during the reporting period and you took actions consistent with your startup, shutdown, and malfunction plan, the compliance report must include the information in  $\S 63.10(d)(5)(i)$ .
- (5) If there are no deviations from any emission limitations (emission limit or operating limit) that applies to you, a statement that there were no deviations from the emission limitations during the reporting period.
- (6) If there were no periods during which the operating parameter monitoring systems were out-of-control as

- specified in §63.8(c)(7), a statement that there were no periods during which the operating parameter monitoring systems or CPMS were out-of-control during the reporting period.
- (7) For each tire production affected source, the emission limit option in §63.5984 and the compliance alternative in §63.5985 that you have chosen to meet.
- (8) For each tire production affected source complying with the purchase compliance alternative in §63.5985(a), and for each annual reporting period during which you use a cement and solvent that, as purchased, was not included in the list submitted with the Notification of Compliance Status in §63.6009(g), an updated list of all cements and solvents used, as purchased, at the affected source. You must also include a statement certifying that each cement and solvent, as purchased, that was used at the affected source during the reporting period met the HAP constituent limits (option 1) in table 1 to this subpart.
- (9) For each tire cord production affected source, the emission limit option in §63.5986 and the compliance alternative in §63.5987 that you have chosen to meet.
- (10) For each puncture sealant application affected source, the emission limit option in §63.5988 and the compliance alternative in §63.5989 that you have chosen to meet.
- (d) For each deviation from an emission limitation (emission limit or operating limit) that occurs at an affected source where you are not using a CPMS to comply with the emission limitations in this subpart, the compliance report must contain the information in paragraphs (c)(1) through (4) and paragraphs (d)(1) and (2) of this section. This includes periods of startup, shutdown, and malfunction when the affected source is operating.
- (1) The total operating time of each affected source during the reporting period.
- (2) Information on the number, duration, and cause of deviations (including unknown cause, if applicable) and the corrective action taken.
- (e) Each affected source that has obtained a title V operating permit pursuant to 40 CFR part 70 or 40 CFR part

71 must report all deviations as defined in this subpart in the semiannual monitoring report required by 40 CFR 70.6(a)(3)(iii)(A) 40 or71.6(a)(3)(iii)(A). If an affected source submits a compliance report (pursuant to Table 10 to this subpart along with, or as part of, the semiannual monitoring report required by 40 CFR 70.6(a)(3)(iii)(A)or40 CFR. 71.6(a)(3)(iii)(A) which includes all required information concerning deviations from any emission limitation (including any operating limit) or work practice requirement in this subpart, submission of the compliance report shall be deemed to satisfy any obligation to report the same deviations in the semiannual monitoring report. However, submission of a compliance report shall not otherwise affect any obligation the affected source may have to report deviations from permit requirements to the permit authority.

- (f) Upon notification to the Administrator that a tire production affected source has eliminated or reformulated cement and solvent so that the source can demonstrate compliance using the purchase alternative in §63.5985(a), future compliance reports for this affected source may be submitted annually.
- (g) If acceptable to both the Administrator and you, you may submit reports and notifications electronically.

#### §63.6011 What records must I keep?

- (a) You must keep the records specified in paragraphs (a)(1) through (3) of this section.
- (1) A copy of each notification and report that you submitted to comply with this subpart, including all documentation supporting any Initial Notification or Notification of Compliance Status that you submitted, according to the requirements in §63.10(b)(2)(xiv).
- (2) Records of performance tests as required in  $\S63.10(b)(2)(viii)$ .
- (3) The records in  $\S63.6(e)(3)(iii)$  through (v) related to startup, shutdown, and malfunction.
- (b) For each tire production affected source, you must keep the records specified in Table 9 to this subpart to show continuous compliance with each emission limit that applies to you.

- (c) For each tire cord production affected source, you must keep the records specified in Table 11 to this subpart to show continuous compliance with each emission limit that applies to you.
- (d) For each puncture sealant application affected source, you must keep the records specified in Table 13 to this subpart to show continuous compliance with each emission limit that applies to you.

## §63.6012 In what form and how long must I keep my records?

- (a) Your records must be in a form suitable and readily available for expeditious review, according to §63.10(b)(1).
- (b) As specified in §63.10(b)(1), you must keep each record for 5 years following the date of each occurrence, measurement, maintenance, corrective action, report, or record.
- (c) You must keep each record on site for at least 2 years after the date of each occurrence, measurement, maintenance, corrective action, report, or record, according to §63.10(b)(1). You can keep the records offsite for the remaining 3 years.

OTHER REQUIREMENTS AND INFORMATION

## § 63.6013 What parts of the General Provisions apply to me?

Table 17 to this subpart shows which parts of the General Provisions in §§ 63.1 through 63.15 apply to you.

## § 63.6014 Who implements and enforces this subpart?

- (a) This subpart can be implemented and enforced by us, the United States Environmental Protection Agency, or a delegated authority such as your State, local, or tribal agency. If the U.S. EPA has delegated authority to your State, local, or tribal agency, then that agency, in addition to the U.S. EPA, has the authority to implement and enforce this subpart. You should contact your U.S. EPA Regional Office to find out if implementation and enforcement of this subpart is delegated to your State, local, or tribal agency.
- (b) In delegating implementation and enforcement authority of this subpart

to a State, local, or tribal agency under 40 CFR part 63, subpart E, the authorities contained in paragraph (c) of this section are retained by the Administrator of the U.S. EPA and are not transferred to the State, local, or tribal agency.

- (c) The authorities that cannot be delegated to State, local, or tribal agencies are listed in paragraphs (c)(1) through (4) of this section.
- (1) Approval of alternatives to the requirements in §§ 63.5981 through 63.5984, 63.5986, and 63.5988.
- (2) Approval of major changes to test methods under §63.7(e)(2)(ii) and (f) and as defined in §63.90.
- (3) Approval of major changes to monitoring under §63.8(f) and as defined in §63.90.
- (4) Approval of major changes to recordkeeping and reporting under §63.10(f) and as defined in §63.90.

## § 63.6015 What definitions apply to this subpart?

Terms used in this subpart are defined in the Clean Air Act and in §63.2, the General Provisions. The following are additional definitions of terms used in this subpart:

As purchased means the condition of a cement and solvent as delivered to the facility, prior to any mixing, blending, or dilution.

Capture system means a hood, enclosed room, or other means of collecting organic HAP emissions into a closed-vent system that conveys these emissions to a control device.

Cements and solvents means the collection of all organic chemicals, mixtures of chemicals, and compounds used in the production of rubber tires, including cements, solvents, and mixtures used as process aids. Cements and solvents include, but are not limited to, tread end cements, undertread cements, bead cements, tire building cements and solvents, green tire spray, blemish repair paints, side wall protective paints, marking inks, materials used to clean process equipment, and slab dip mixtures. Cements and solvents do not include coatings or process aids used in tire cord production, puncture sealant application, rubber processing, or materials used to construct, repair, or maintain process

equipment, or chemicals and compounds that are not used in the tire production process such as materials used in routine janitorial or facility grounds maintenance, office supplies (e.g., dry-erase markers, correction fluid), architectural paint, or any substance to the extent it is used for personal, family, or household purposes, or is present in the same form and concentration as a product packaged for distribution to and use by the general public.

Coating means a compound or mixture of compounds that is applied to a fabric substrate in the tire cord production operation that allows the fabric to be prepared (e.g., by heating, setting, curing) for incorporation into a rubber tire.

Components of rubber tires means any piece or part used in the manufacture of rubber tires that becomes an integral portion of the rubber tire when manufacture is complete and includes mixed rubber compounds, sidewalls, tread, tire beads, and liners. Other components often associated with rubber tires such as wheels, valve stems, tire bladders and inner tubes are not considered components of rubber tires for the purposes of these standards. Tire cord and puncture sealant, although components of rubber tires, are considered as separate affected sources in these standards and are defined separately.

Control device means a combustion device, recovery device, recapture device, or any combination of these devices used for recovering or oxidizing organic hazardous air pollutant vapors. Such equipment includes, but is not limited to, absorbers, carbon adsorbers, condensers, incinerators (oxidizers), flares, boilers, and process heaters.

Control system efficiency means the percent of total volatile organic compound emissions, as measured by EPA Method 25 or 25A (40 CFR part 60, appendix A), recovered or destroyed by a control device multiplied by the percent of total volatile organic compound emissions, as measured by Method 25 or 25A, that are captured and conveyed to the control device.

Deviation means any instance in which an affected source, subject to

this subpart, or an owner or operator of such a source:

- (1) Fails to meet any requirement or obligation established by this subpart including, but not limited to, any emission limitation (including any operating limit) or work practice standard;
- (2) Fails to meet any term or condition that is adopted to implement an applicable requirement in this subpart and that is included in the operating permit for any affected source required to obtain such a permit; or
- (3) Fails to meet any emission limitation (including any operating limit) or work practice standard in this subpart during startup, shutdown, or malfunction, regardless of whether or not such failure is permitted by this subpart.

Emission limitation means any emission limit, opacity limit, operating limit, or visible emission limit.

Fabric processed means the amount of fabric coated and finished for use in subsequent product manufacturing.

Mixed rubber compound means the material, commonly referred to as rubber, from which rubber tires and components of rubber tires are manufactured. For the purposes of this definition, mixed rubber compound refers to the compound that leaves the rubber mixing process (e.g., banburys) and is then processed into components from which rubber tires are manufactured.

Monthly operating period means the period in the Notification of Compliance Status report comprised of the number of operating days in the month.

Operating day means the period defined in the Notification of Compliance Status report. It may be from midnight to midnight or a portion of a 24-hour period.

Process aid means a solvent, mixture, or cement used to facilitate or assist in tire component identification; compo-

nent storage; tire building; tire curing; and tire repair, finishing, and identification.

Puncture sealant means a mixture that may include, but is not limited to, solvent constituents, mixed rubber compound, and process oil that is applied to the inner liner of a finished tire for the purpose of sealing any future hole which might occur in the tread when an object penetrates the tire.

Responsible official means responsible official as defined in 40 CFR 70.2.

Rubber means the sum of the materials (for example, natural rubber, synthetic rubber, carbon black, oils, sulfur) that are combined in specific formulations for the sole purpose of making rubber tires or components of rubber tires

Rubber mixing means the physical process of combining materials for use in rubber tire manufacturing to make mixed rubber compound using the collection of banburys and associated drop mills

Rubber tire means a continuous solid or pneumatic cushion typically encircling a wheel and usually consisting, when pneumatic, of an external rubber covering.

Rubber used means the total mass of mixed rubber compound delivered to the tire production operations in a tire manufacturing facility (e.g., the collection of warm-up mills, extruders, calendars, tire building, or other tire component and tire manufacturing equipment).

Tire cord means any fabric (e.g., polyester, cotton) that is treated with a coating mixture that allows the fabric to more readily accept impregnation with rubber to become an integral part of a rubber tire.

[67 FR 45598, July 9, 2002, as amended at 68 FR 11747, Mar. 12, 2003]

## Table 1 to Subpart XXXX of Part 63—Emission Limits for Tire Production Affected Sources

As stated in §63.5984, you must comply with the emission limits for each new, reconstructed, or existing tire production affected source in the following table:

For each	You must meet the following emission limits.	
Option 1—HAP constituent option  2. Option 2—production-based option	a. Emissions of each HAP in Table 16 to this subpart must not exceed 1,000 grams HAP per megagram (2 pounds per ton) of total cements and solvents used at the tire production affected source, and b. Emissions of each HAP not in Table 16 to this subpart must not exceed 10,000 grams HAP per megagram (20 pounds per ton) of total cements and solvents used at the tire production affected source.  Emissions of HAP must not exceed 0.024 grams per megagram (0.00005 pounds per ton) of rubber used at the tire production affected source.	

## Table 2 to Subpart XXXX of Part 63—Emission Limits for Tire Cord Production Affected Sources

As stated in  $\S63.5986$ , you must comply with the emission limits for tire cord production affected sources in the following table:

For each	You must meet the following emission limits.	
Option 1.a (production-based option)—     Existing tire cord production affected source.	Emissions must not exceed 280 grams HAP per megagram (0.56 pounds per ton) of fabric processed at the tire cord production affected source.	
Option 1.b (production-based option)—     New or reconstructed tire cord production affected source.	Emissions must not exceed 220 grams HAP per megagram (0.43 pounds per ton) of fabric processed at the tire cord production affected source.	
<ol> <li>Option 2 (HAP constituent option)—Existing, new or reconstructed tire cord production affected source.</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>Emissions of each HAP in Table 16 to this subpart must not exceed 1,000 grams HAP per megagram (2 pounds per ton) of total coatings used at the tire cord production affected source, and</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Emissions of each HAP not in Table 16 to this subpart must not exceed 10,000 grams HAP per megagram (20 pounds per ton) of total coatings used at the tire cord production affected source.</li> </ul>	

## Table 3 to Subpart XXXX of Part 63—Emission Limits for Puncture Sealant Application Affected Sources

As stated in  $\S63.5988(a)$ , you must comply with the emission limits for puncture sealant application affected sources in the following table:

For each	You must meet the following emission limit.	
Option 1.a (percent reduction option)—     Existing puncture sealant application spray booth.	Reduce spray booth HAP (measured as volatile organic compounds (VOC)) emissions by at least 86 percent by weight.	
<ol> <li>Option 1.b (percent reduction option)— New or reconstructed puncture sealant application spray booth.</li> </ol>	Reduce spray booth HAP (measured as VOC) emissions by at least 95 percent by weight.	
<ol> <li>Óption 2 (HAP constituent option) Exist- ing, new or reconstructed puncture seal- ant application spray booth.</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>a. Emissions of each HAP in Table 16 to this subpart must not exceed 1,000 grams HAP per megagram (2 pounds per ton) of total puncture sealants used at the puncture sealant affected source, and</li> <li>b. Emissions of each HAP not in Table 16 to this subpart must not exceed 10,000 grams HAP per megagram (20 pounds per ton) of total puncture sealants used at the puncture sealant affected source.</li> </ul>	

## Table 4 to Subpart XXXX of Part 63—Operating Limits for Puncture Sealant Application Control Devices

As stated in §63.5988(b), you must comply with the operating limits for puncture sealant application affected sources in the following table unless you are meeting Option 2 (HAP constituent option) limits in Table 3 to this subpart:

For each	You must
Thermal oxidizer to which puncture sealant application spray booth emissions are ducted.	Maintain the daily average firebox secondary chamber temperature within the operating range established during the performance test.
Carbon adsorber (regenerative) to which puncture sealant application spray booth emissions are ducted.	a. Maintain the total regeneration mass, volumetric flow, and carbon bed temperature at the operating range established during the performance test. b. Reestablish the carbon bed temperature to the levels established during the performance test within 15 minutes of each cooling cycle.
Other type of control device to which puncture sealant application spray booth emissions are ducted	Maintain your operating parameter(s) within the range(s) established during the

For each	You must
4. Permanent total enclosure capture system.	Maintain the face velocity across any NDO at least at the levels established during the performance test.     Maintain the size of NDO, the number of NDO, and their proximity to HAP emission sources consistent with the parameters established during the performance test.
5. Other capture system	Maintain the operating parameters within the range(s) established during the performance test and according to your monitoring plan.

## Table 5 to Subpart XXXX of Part 63—Requirements for Performance Tests

As stated in  $\S63.5993$ , you must comply with the requirements for performance tests in the following table:

If you are using	You must	Using	According to the following requirements
1. A thermal oxidizer.	a. Measure total HAP emissions, determine destruction efficiency of the control device, and establish a site-specific firebox secondary chamber temperature limit at which the emis- sion limit that applies to the affected source is achieved.	i. Method 25 or 25A per- formance test and data from the temperature monitoring system.	(1). Measure total HAP emissions and determine the destruction efficiency of the control device using Method 25 (40 CFR part 60, appendix A). You may use Method 25A (40 CFR part 60, appendix A) if: an exhaust gas volatile organic matter concentration of 50 parts per million (ppmv) or less is required to comply with the standard; the volatile organic matter concentration at the inlet to the control system and the required level of control are such that exhaust volatile organic matter concentrations are 50 ppmv or less; or because of the high efficiency of the control device exhaust, is 50 ppmv or less, regardless of the inlet concentration.  (2). Collect firebox secondary chamber temperature data every 15 minutes during the entire period of the initial 3-hour performance test, and determine the average firebox temperature over the 3-hour performance test by computing the average of all of the 15-minute reading.
A carbon adsorber (regenerative).	a. Measure total organic HAP emissions, establish the total regeneration mass or volumetric flow, and establish the temperature of the carbon bed within 15 minutes of completing any cooling cycles. The total regeneration mass, volumetric flow, and carbon bed temperature must be those at which the emission limit that applies to the affected source is achieved.	i. Method 25 or Method 25A performance test and data from the carbon bed temperature monitoring device.	<ol> <li>Measure total HAP emissions using Method 25. You may use Method 25A, if an exhaust gas volatile organic matter concentration of 50 ppmv or less; or because of the high efficiency of the control device, exhaust is 50 ppmv or less is required to comply with the standard; the volatile organic matter concentration (VOMC) at the inlet to the control system and the required level of control are such that exhaust VOMCs are 50 ppmv or less; or because of the high efficiency of the control device, exhaust is 50 ppmv or less, regardless of the inlet concentration.</li> <li>Collect carbon bed total regeneration mass or volumetric flow for each carbon bed regeneration cycle during the performance test.</li> <li>Record the maximum carbon bed temperature data for each carbon bed regeneration cycle during the performance test.</li> <li>Record the carbon bed temperature within 15 minutes of each cooling cycle during the performance test.</li> <li>Determine the average total regeneration mass or the volumetric flow over the 3-hour performance test by computing the average of all of the readings.</li> <li>Determine the average maximum carbon bed temperature over the 3-hour performance test by computing the average of all of the readings.</li> <li>Determine the average carbon bed temperature within 15 minutes of the cooling cycle over the 3-hour performance test.</li> </ol>

If you are using	You must	Using	According to the following requirements
3. Any control device other than a thermal oxidizer or carbon adsorber.	Determine control device efficiency and establish operating parameter limits with which you will demonstrate continuous compliance with the emission limit that applies to the affected source.	EPA-approved methods and data from the con- tinuous parameter mon- itoring system.	Conduct the performance test according to the site-specific plan submitted according to § 63.7(c)(2)(i).
All control devices.	a. Select sampling ports' location and the number of traverse ports.     b. Determine velocity and volumetric flow rate.     c. Conduct gas analysis	Method 1 or 1A of 40 CFR part 60, appendix A. Method 2, 2A, 2C, 2D, 2F, or 2G of 40 CFR part 60, appendix A. Method 3, 3A, or 3B of 40 CFR part 60 appendix A.	Locate sampling sites at the inlet and outlet of the control device and prior to any releases to the atmosphere.
	d. Measure moisture content of the stack gas.	Method 4 of 40 CFR part 60, appendix A.	
5. A permenent total enclosure (PTE).	Measure the face velocity across natural draft openings and document the design features of the enclosure.	Method 204 of CFR part 51, appendix M.	Capture efficiency is assumed to be 100 percent if the criteria are met
6. Temporary total enclosure (TTE).	Construct a temporarily in- stalled enclosure that allows you to determine the efficiency of your capture system and es- tablish operating param- eter limits.	Method 204 and the appropriate combination of Methods 204A–204F of 40 CFR part 51, appendix M.	

## Table 6 to Subpart XXXX of Part 63—Initial Compliance With the Emission Limits for Tire Production Affected Sources

As stated in 63.5996, you must show initial compliance with the emission limits for tire production affected sources according to the following table:

For	For the following emission limit	You have demonstrated initial compliance if
Sources complying with the purchase compliance alter- native in § 63.5985(a).	The HAP constituent option in Table 1 to this subpart, option 1.	You demonstrate for each monthly period that no cements and solvents were purchased and used at the affected source containing HAP in amounts above the composition limits in Table 1 to this subpart, option 1, determined according to the procedures in § 63.5994(a) and (b)(1).
Sources complying with the monthly average compliance alternative without using a control device in § 63.5985(b).	The HAP constituent option in Table 1 to this subpart, option 1.	You demonstrate that the monthly average HAP emissions for each monthly operating period do not exceed the emission limits in Table 1 to this subpart, option 1, determined according to the applicable procedures in § 63.5994(a) and (b)(2).
Sources complying with the monthly average compliance alternative using a control device in § 63.5985(c).	The HAP constituent option in Table 1 to this subpart, option 1.	You demonstrate that the monthly average HAP emissions for each monthly operating period do not exceed the emission limits in Table 1 to this subpart, option 1, determined according to the applicable procedures in §63.5994(a), (b)(3) and (4), and (d) through (f).
Sources complying with the monthly average compliance alternative without use of a control device in § 63.5985(b).	The production-based option in Table 1 to this subpart, option 2.	You demonstrate that the monthly average HAP emissions for each monthly operating period do not exceed the emission limits in Table 1 to this subpart, option 2, determined according to the applicable procedures in §63.5994(c)(1) through (3).
5. Sources complying with the monthly average compliance alternative using a control device in § 63.5985(c).	The production-based option in Table 1 to this subpart, option 2.	You demonstrate that the monthly average HAP emissions for each monthly operating period do not exceed the emission limits in Table 1 to this subpart, option 2, determined according to the applicable procedures in §63.5994(c)(1) and (2), (4) and (5), and (d) through (f).

## TABLE 7 TO SUBPART XXXX OF PART 63—INITIAL COMPLIANCE WITH THE EMISSION LIMITS FOR TIRE CORD PRODUCTION AFFECTED SOURCES

As stated in  $\S63.5999$ , you must show initial compliance with the emission limits for tire cord production affected sources according to the following table:

For	For the following emission limit	You have demonstrated initial compliance if
Sources complying with the monthly average alternative without using an add-on control device according to § 63.5987(a).	The production-based option in Table 2 to this subpart, option 1.	You demonstrate that the monthly average HAP emissions for each monthly operating period do not exceed the emission limits in Table 2 to this subpart, option 1, determined according to the procedures in §63.5997(a), (b)(1) and (2).
Sources complying with the monthly average alternative using an add-on control de- vice according to §63.5987(b).	The production-based option in Table 2 to this subpart, option 1.	You demonstrate that the monthly average HAP emissions for each monthly operating period do not exceed the emission limits in Table 2 to this subpart, option 1, determined according to the procedures in §63.5997(a), (b)(1) and (3) through (4), and (d) through (f).
Sources complying with the monthly average alternative without using an add-on con- trol device according to § 63.5987(a).	The HAP constituent option in Table 2 to this subpart, option 2.	You demonstrate that the monthly average HAP emissions for each monthly operating period do not exceed the HAP constituent emission limits in Table 2 to this subpart, option 2, determined according to the applicable procedures in §63.5997(a) and (c)(1) and (2).
Sources complying with the monthly average alternative using an add-on control de- vice according to § 63.5987(b).	The HAP constituent option in Table 2 to this subpart, option 2.	You demonstrate that the monthly average HAP emissions for each monthly operating period do not exceed the HAP constituent emission limits in Table 2 to this subpart, option 2, determined according to the applicable procedures in § 63.5997(c)(1) and (3) through (4), and (d) through (f).

### TABLE 8 TO SUBPART XXXX OF PART 63—INITIAL COMPLIANCE WITH THE EMISSION

As stated in  $\S63.6002$ , you must show initial compliance with the emission limits for puncture sealant application affected sources according to the following table:

For	For the following emission limit	You have demonstrated initial compliance if
Sources complying with the overall control efficiency alternative in § 63.5989(a).	The percent reduction option in Table 3 to this subpart, option 1.	You demonstrate that you conducted the performance tests, determined the overall efficiency of your control system, demonstrated that the applicable limits in Table 3 to this subpart, option 1, have been achieved, and established the operating limits in Table 4 of this subpart for your equipment according to the applicable procedures in § 63.6000(b).
<ol> <li>Sources complying with the permanent total enclosure and control device efficiency alternative in § 63.5989(b).</li> </ol>	The percent reduction option in Table 3 to this subpart, option 1.	You demonstrate that you conducted the performance tests, determined the individual efficiencies of your capture and control systems, demonstrated that the applicable limits in Table 3 to this subpart, option 1, have been achieved, and established the operating limits in Table 4 of this subpart for your equipment according to the applicable procedures in §63.6000(b).
Sources complying with the monthly average alternative in § 63.5989(c) without using an add-on control device.	The HAP constituent option in Table 3 to this subpart, option 2.	You demonstrate that the monthly average HAP emissions for each monthly operating period do not exceed the HAP constituent emission limits in Table 3 to this subpart, option 2, determined according to the applicable procedures in §63.6000(c) and (d)(1).
Sources complying with the HAP constituent alternative in § 63.5989(d) by using an add-on control device.	The HAP constituent option in Table 3 to this subpart, option 2.	You demonstrate that the monthly average HAP emissions for each monthly operating period do not exceed the HAP constituent emission limits in Table 3 to this subpart, option 2, determined according to the applicable procedures in § 63.6000(c), (d)(2) and (3), and (e) through (f).

Table 9 to Subpart XXXX of Part 63—Minimum Data for Continuous Compliance With the Emission Limits for Tire Production Affected Sources

As stated in §63.6003, you must maintain minimum data to show continuous compliance with the emission limits for tire production affected sources according to the following table:

For	You must maintain
Sources complying with purchase compliance alternative in §63.5985(a) that are meeting the HAP constituent emission limit (option 1) in Table 1 to this subpart.	A list of each cement and solvent as purchased and the manufacturer or supplier of each.     A record of Method 311 (40 CFR part 60, appendix A), or approved alternative method, test results indicating the mass percent of each HAP for each cement and solvent as purchased.
Sources complying with the monthly average compliance alternative without using a control device according to §63.5985(b) that are meeting emission limits in Table 1 to this subpart.	<ul> <li>a. A record of Method 311, or approved alternative method, test results, indicating the mass percent of each HAP for each cement and solvent, as purchased.</li> <li>b. The mass of each cement and solvent used each monthly operating period.</li> <li>c. The total mass of rubber used each monthly operating period (if complying with the production-based emission limit, option 2, in Table 1 to this subpart).</li> <li>d. All data and calculations used to determine the monthly average mass percent for each HAP for each monthly operating period.</li> <li>e. Monthly averages of emissions in the appropriate emission limit format.</li> </ul>
Sources complying with the monthly average compliance alternative using a control device according to §63.5985(c) that are meeting emission limits in Table 1 to this subpart.	a. The same information as sources complying with the monthly average alternative without using a control device.     b. Records of operating parameter values for each operating parameter that applies to you.

## Table 10 to Subpart XXXX of Part 63—Continuous Compliance With the Emission Limits for Tire Production Affected Sources

As stated in §63.6004, you must show continuous compliance with the emission limits for tire production affected sources according to the following table:

For	For the following emission limit	You must demonstrate continuous compliance by
Sources complying with purchase compliance alternative in § 63.5985(a).	The HAP constituent option in Table 1 to this subpart, option 1.	Demonstrating for each monthly period that no cements and solvents were purchased and used at the affected source containing HAP in amounts above the composition limits in Table 1 to this subpart, option 1, determined according to the procedures in §63.5994(a) and (b)(1).
Sources complying with the monthly average compliance alternative without using a control device according to § 63.5985(b).	The HAP constituent option in Table 1 to this subpart, option 1.	Demonstrating that the monthly average HAP emissions for each monthly operating period do not exceed the emission limits in Table 1 to this subpart, option 1, determined ac- cording to the applicable procedures in § 63.5994(a) and (b)(2).
Sources complying with the monthly average compliance alternative using a control device according to § 63.5985(c).	The HAP constituent option in Table 1 to this subpart, option 1.	Demonstrating that the monthly average HAP emissions for each monthly operating period do not exceed the emission limits in Table 1 to this subpart, option 1, determined according to the applicable procedures in §63.5994(a), (b)(3) and (4), and (d) through (f).
Sources complying with the monthly average compliance alternative without using a control device according to § 63.5985(b).	The production-based option in Table 1 to this subpart, option 2.	Demonstrating that the monthly average HAP emissions for each monthly operating period do not exceed the emission limits in Table 1 to this subpart, option 2, determined according to the applicable procedures in §63.5994(c)(1) through (3).
5. Sources complying with the monthly average compliance alternative using a control device according to § 63.5985(c).	The production-based option in Table 1 to this subpart, option 2.	Demonstrating that the monthly average HAP emissions for each monthly operating period do not exceed the emission limits in Table 1 to this subpart, option 2, determined according to the applicable procedures in § 63.5994(c)(1) and (2), (4) and (5), and (d) through (f).

# TABLE 11 TO SUBPART XXXX OF PART 63—MINIMUM DATA FOR CONTINUOUS COMPLIANCE WITH THE EMISSION LIMITS FOR TIRE CORD PRODUCTION AFFECTED SOURCES

As stated in §63.6005, you must maintain minimum data to show continuous compliance with the emission limits for tire cord production affected sources according to the following table:

For	You must maintain
Sources complying with the monthly average alternative without using an addon control device according to §63.5987(a) that are meeting emission limits in Table 2 to this subpart.	A record of Method 311 (40 CFR part 63, appendix A), or approved alternative method, test results, indicating the mass percent of each HAP for coating used.     b. The mass of each coating used each monthly operating period.     c. The total mass of fabric processed each monthly operating period (if complying with the production-based option in Table 2 to this subpart, option 1).     d. All data and calculations used to determine the monthly average mass percent for each HAP for each monthly operating period.     e. Monthly averages of emissions in the appropriate emission emission limit format.
2. Sources complying with the monthly average alternative using an add-on control device according to §63.5987(b) that are meeting emission limits in Table 2 to this subpart.	The same information as sources complying with the monthly average alternative without using a control device.     Records of operating parameter values for each operating parameter that applies to you.

## Table 12 to Subpart XXXX of Part 63—Continuous Compliance With the Emission Limits for Tire Cord Production Affected Sources

As stated in  $\S63.6006$ , you must show continuous compliance with the emission limits for tire cord production affected sources according to the following table:

For	For the following emission limit	You must demonstrate continuous compliance by
Sources complying with the monthly average compliance alternative without using an add-on control device according to § 63.5987(a).	In Table 2 to this subpart.	a. Demonstrating that the monthly average HAP emissions for each monthly operating period do not exceed the emission limits in Table 2 to this subpart, option 1, determined according to the applicable procedures in §63.5997(a) and (b)(1) and (2).     b. Demonstrating that the monthly average HAP emissions for each monthly operating period do not exceed the HAP constituent emission limits in Table 2 to this subpart, option 2, determined according to the applicable procedures in §63.5997(a) and (c)(1) and (2).
<ol> <li>Sources complying with the monthly average compliance al- ternative using an add-on control device according to § 63.5987(b).</li> </ol>	In Table 2 to this subpart.	a. Demonstrating that the monthly average HAP emissions for each monthly operating period do not exceed the emission limits in Table 2 to this subpart, option 1, determined according to the applicable procedures in §63.5997(a), (b)(1) and (3) through (4), and (d) through (f).  Demonstrating that the monthly HAP emissions for each monthly operating period do not exceed the HAP constituent emission limits in Table 2 to this subpart, option 2, determined according to the applicable procedures in §63.5997(c)(1) and (3) through (4), and (d) through (f).

# TABLE 13 TO SUBPART XXXX OF PART 63—MINIMUM DATA FOR CONTINUOUS COMPLIANCE WITH THE EMISSION LIMITATIONS FOR PUNCTURE SEALANT APPLICATION AFFECTED SOURCES

As stated in  $\S63.6007$ , you must maintain minimum data to show continuous compliance with the emission limitations for puncture sealant application affected sources according to the following table:

For	You must maintain
<ol> <li>Sources complying with the control efficiency alternatives in §63.5989(a) or (b) that are meeting the percent reduction emission limits in Table 3 to this subpart, option 1, using a thermal oxidizer to reduce HAP emissions so that they do not exceed the operating limits in Table 4 to this subpart.</li> </ol>	Records of the secondary chamber firebox temperature for 100 percent of the hours during which the process was operated.
<ol> <li>Sources complying with the control efficiency alternatives in §63.5989(a) or (b) that are meeting the percent reduction emission limits in Table 3 to this subpart, option 1, using a carbon adsorber to reduce HAP emissions so that they do not exceed the operating limits in Table 4 to this subpart.</li> </ol>	Records of the total regeneration stream mass or volumetric flow for each regeneration cycle for 100 percent of the hours during which the process was operated, and a record of the carbon bed temperature after each regeneration, and within 15 minutes of completing any cooling cycle for 100 percent of the hours during which the process was operated.

## **Environmental Protection Agency**

For	You must maintain
3. Sources complying with the control efficiency alternatives in §63.5989(a) or (b) that are meeting the percent reduction emission limits in Table 3 to this subpart, option 1, using any other type of control device to which puncture sealant application spray booth HAP emissions are ducted so that they do not exceed the operating limits in Table 4 to this subpart.	Records of operating parameter values for each operating parameter that applies to you.
4. Sources complying with the permanent total enclosure compliance alternative in §63.5989(b) that are meeting the percent reduction emission limits in Table 3 to this subpart, option 1, using a permanent total enclosure capture system to capture HAP emissions so that they do not exceed the operating limits in Table 4 to this subpart.	Records of the face velocity across any NDO, the size of NDO, the number of NDO, and their proximity to HAP emission sources.
5. Sources complying with the overall control efficiency alternative in § 63.5989(a) that are meeting the percent reduction emission limits in Table 3 to this subpart, option 1, using any other capture system to capture HAP emissions so that they do not exceed the operating limits in Table 4 to this subpart.	Records of operating parameter values for each operating parameter that applies to you.
Sources complying with the monthly average alternative without using an addon control device according to §63.5988(a) that are meeting the HAP constituent emission limits in Table 3 to this subpart, option 2.	a. A record of Method 311 (40 CFR part 63, appendix A), or approved alternative method, test results, indicating the mass percent of each HAP for puncture seal-ant used.     b. The mass of each puncture sealant used each monthly operating period.     c. All data and calculations used to determine the monthly average mass percent for each HAP for each monthly operating period.     d. Monthly averages of emissions in the appropriate emission limit format.
<ol> <li>Sources complying with the monthly average alternative using an add-on control device according to §63.5988(a) that are meeting the HAP constituent emission limits in Table 3 to this subpart, option 2.</li> </ol>	a. The same information as sources complying with the monthly average alternative that are not using a control device.     b. Records of operating parameter values for each operating parameter that applies to you.

## Table 14 to Subpart XXXX of Part 63—Continuous Compliance With the Emission Limitations for Puncture Sealant Application Affected Sources

As stated in §63.6008, you must show continuous compliance with the emission limitations for puncture sealant application affected sources according to the following table:

for puncture sealant application affected sources according to the following table:			
For	You must demonstrate continuous compliance by		
Each carbon adsorber used to comply with the operating limits in Table 4 to this subpart.	a. Monitoring and recording every 15 minutes the total regeneration stream mass or volumetric flow, and the carbon bed temperature after each regeneration, and within 15 minutes of completing any cooling cycle, and     b. Maintaining the total regeneration stream mass or volumetric flow, and the carbon bed temperature after each regeneration, and within 15 minutes of completing any cooling cycle within the operating levels established during your performance test.		
Each thermal oxidizer used to comply with operating limits in Table 4 to this subpart.	<ul> <li>a. Continuously monitoring and recording the firebox temperature every 15 minutes, and</li> <li>b. Maintaining the daily average firebox temperature within the operating level es- tablished during your performance test.</li> </ul>		
<ol> <li>Other "add-on" control or capture sys- tem hardware used to comply with the operating limits in Table 4 to this sub- part.</li> </ol>	Continuously monitoring and recording specified parameters identified through com- pliance testing and identified in the Notification of Compliance Status report.		
<ol> <li>Sources complying with the monthly average compliance alternative without using an add-on control device according to §63.5989(c) that are meeting the HAP constituent emission limits in Table 3 to this subpart, option 2.</li> </ol>	Demonstrating that the monthly average HAP emissions for each monthly operating period do not exceed the HAP constituent emission limits in Table 3 to this subpart, option 2, determined according to the applicable procedures in § 63.6000(c) and (d)(1).		

For	You must demonstrate continuous compliance by	
<ol> <li>Sources complying with the monthly average compliance alternative by using an add-on control device according to §63.5989(d) that are the HAP constituent emission limits in Table 3 to this subpart, option 2.</li> </ol>	part, option 2, determined according to the applicable procedures in §63.6000(c), (d)(2) and (3), and (e) through (g).	

#### Table 15 to Subpart XXXX of Part 63—Requirements for Reports

As stated in §63.6010, you must submit each report that applies to you according to the following table:

You must submit a(n)	The report must contain	You must submit the report
1. Compliance report	a. If there are no deviations from any emission limitations that apply to you, a statement that there were no deviations from the emission limitations during the reporting period. If there were no periods during which the CPMS was out-of-control as specified in §63.8(c)(7), a statement that there were no periods during which the CPMS was out-of-control during the reporting period.	Semiannually according to the requirements in § 63.6010(b), unless you meet the requirements for annual reporting in § 63.6010(f).
	b. If you have a deviation from any emission limitation during the reporting period at an affected source where you are not using a CPMS, the report must contain the information in §63.6010(d). If the deviation occurred at a source where you are using a CMPS or if there were periods during which the CPMS were out-of-control as specified in §63.8(c)(7), the report must contain the information required by §63.5990(f)(3).	Semiannually according to the requirements in § 63.6010(b), unless you meet the requirements for annual reporting in § 63.6010(f).
	c. If you had a startup, shutdown or malfunction during the reporting period and you took actions consistent with your startup, shutdown, and malfunction plan, the compliance report must include the information in § 63.10(d)(5)(i).	Semiannually according to the requirements in § 63.6010(b), unless you meet the requirements for annual reporting in § 63.6010(f).
<ol> <li>Immediate startup, shut- down, and malfunction report if you had a startup, shut- down, or malfunction during the reporting period that is not consistent with your startup, shutdown, and mal- function plan.</li> </ol>	a. Actions taken for the event	By fax or telephone within 2 working days after starting actions inconsistent with the plan.
	b. The information in § 63.10(d)(5)(ii)	By letter within 7 working days after the end of the event unless you have made alter- native arrangements with the permitting authority (§ 63.10(d)(5)(ii)).

### Table 16 to Subpart XXXX of Part 63—Selected Hazardous Air Pollutants

You must use the information listed in the following table to determine which emission limit in the HAP constituent options in Tables 1 through 3 to this subpart is applicable to you:

CAS No.	Selected hazardous air pollutants	
60000	Formaldehyde	
1796	Ethyl carbamate (Urethane)	
3963	. 2-Acetylaminofluorene	
6235	. Carbon tetrachloride	
57147	. 1,1-Dimethyl hydrazine	
7578	beta-Propiolactone	
8899	Lindane (all isomers)	
9892	. N-Nitrosomorpholine	
0117	Dimethyl aminoazobenzene	
2759		
4675		
7663		
7721		

CAS No.	Selected hazardous air pollutants		
71432	Benzene (including benzene from gasoline)		
75014			
75070			
75092			
75218			
75558			
75569			
77781			
79061			
79447	Dimethyl carbamoyl chloride		
79469	2-Nitropropane		
38062	2,4,6-Trichlorophenol		
91941	3,3-Dichlorobenzidene		
92671	4-Aminobiphenyl		
92875	Benzidine		
95534	o-Toluidine		
95807	2,4-Toluene diamine		
96128	1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane		
96457	Ethylene thiourea		
98077	Benzotrichloride		
101144	4,4-Methylene bis(2-chloroaniline)		
101779	4,4-Methylenedianiline		
106467	1,4-Dichlorobenzene(p)		
106898	Epichlorohydrin (I-Chloro-2,3-epoxypropane)		
106934	Ethylene dibromide (Dibromoethane)		
106990	1,3-Butadiene		
107062	Ethylene dichloride (1,2-Dichloroethane)		
107131			
107302	Chloromethyl methyl ether		
117817			
118741	Hexachlorobenzene		
119904	3,3-Dimethoxybenzidine		
119937	3,3-Dimethyl benzidine		
122667	1,2-Diphenylhydrazine		
123911	1,4-Dioxane (1,4-Diethyleneoxide)		
127184			
140885			
302012			
542756	1 7 7 7		
542881			
680319			
684935			
1120714			
1332214			
1336363			
1746016			
8001352	7-7 7		
	Arsenic Compounds		
	Chromium Compounds		
	Coke Oven Emissions		

## Table 17 to Subpart XXXX of Part 63—Applicability of General Provisions to This Subpart XXXX

As stated in §63.6013, you must comply with the applicable General Provisions (GP) requirements according to the following table:

			Applicable to Subpart XXXX?	
Citation	Subject	Brief description of applicable sections	Using a control device	Not using a control device
§ 63.1	Applicability	Initial applicability determination; applicability after standard established; permit requirements; extensions; notifications.	Yes	Yes.
§ 63.2	Definitions	Definitions for part 63 standards	Yes	Yes.
§ 63.3	Units and Abbreviations	Units and abbreviations for part 63 standards	Yes	Yes.
§ 63.4	Prohibited Activities	Prohibited activities; compliance date; circumvention; severability.	Yes	Yes.
§ 63.5	Construction/Reconstruction.	Applicability; applications; approvals	Yes	Yes.
§ 63.6(a)	Applicability	GP apply unless compliance extension; GP apply to area sources that become major.	Yes	Yes.

0'' ''	0.11	Duint decoration of annulation and	Applicable to Subpart XXXX?	
Citation	Subject	Brief description of applicable sections	Using a control device	Not using a control device
§ 63.6(b)(1)–(4)	Compliance Dates for New and Recon- structed Sources.	Standards apply at effective date; 3 years after effective date; upon startup; 10 years after construction or reconstruction commences for section 112(f).	Yes	Yes.
§ 63.6(b)(5)	Notification	Must notify if commenced construction or reconstruction after proposal.	Yes	Yes.
§ 63.6(b)(6) § 63.6(b)(7)	[Reserved] Compliance Dates for New and Recon- structed Area Sources that Become Major.		No	No.
§ 63.6(c)(1)–(2)	Compliance Dates for Existing Sources.	Comply according to date in subpart, which must be no later than 3 years after effective date; for CAA section 112(f) standards, comply within 90 days of effective date unless compliance extension.	Yes	Yes.
§ 63.6(c)(3)–(4) § 63.6(c)(5)	[Reserved] Compliance Dates for Existing Area Sources that Become Major.	Area sources that become major must comply with major source standards by date indicated in subpart or by equivalent time period (for example, 3 years).	Yes	Yes.
§ 63.6(d) § 63.6(e)(1)–(2)	[Reserved] Operation & Maintenance.	Operate to minimize emissions at all times; cor- rect malfunctions as soon as practicable; and operation and maintenance requirements independently enforceable; information Ad- ministrator will use to determine if operation and maintenance requirements were met.	Yes	Yes.
§63.6(e)(3)	Startup, Shutdown, and Malfunction Plan (SSMP).		Yes	No.
§ 63.6(f)(1)			Yes	No.
§ 63.6(f)(2)–(3)	Methods for Deter- mining Compliance.	Compliance based on performance test; operation and maintenance plans; records; inspection.	Yes	Yes.
§ 63.6(g)(1)–(3) § 63.6(h)	Alternative Standard Opacity/Visible Emission (VE) Standards.	Procedures for getting an alternative standard	Yes No	Yes. No.
§ 63.6(i)	Compliance Extension	Procedures and criteria for Administrator to grant compliance extension.	Yes	Yes.
§ 63.6(j)	Presidential Compliance Exemption.	President may exempt source category from requirement to comply with rule.	Yes	Yes.
§ 63.7(a)(1)–(2)	Performance Test Dates.		No	No.
§ 63.7(a)(3)	CAA section 114 Au- thority.	Administrator may require a performance test under CAA section 114 at any time.	Yes	
§ 63.7(b)(1)	Notification of Performance Test.	Must notify Administrator 60 days before the test.	Yes	No.
§63.7(b)(2)	Notification of Resched- uling.	If rescheduling a performance test is necessary, must notify Administrator 5 days before scheduled date of rescheduled date.	Yes	No.
§ 63.7(c)	Quality Assurance/Test Plan.	Requirement to submit site-specific test plan 60 days before the test or on date Administrator agrees with: test plan approval procedures; performance audit requirements; and internal and external quality assurance procedures for testing.	Yes	No.
§ 63.7(d) § 63.7(e)(1)	Testing Facilities Conditions for Conducting Performance Tests.	Requirements for testing facilities	Yes	No. No.
§63.7(e)(2)	Conditions for Con- ducting Performance Tests.	Must conduct according to rule and EPA test methods unless Administrator approves alternative.	Yes	No.
§ 63.7(e)(3)	Test Run Duration	Must have three test runs of at least 1 hour each; compliance is based on arithmetic mean of three runs; and conditions when data from an additional test run can be used.	Yes	No.

			Applicable to S	ubpart XXXX?
Citation	Subject	Brief description of applicable sections	Using a control device	Not using a control device
§ 63.7(f)	Alternative Test Method	Procedures by which Administrator can grant approval to use an alternative test method.	Yes	No.
§ 63.7(g)	Performance Test Data Analysis.	Must include raw data in performance test re- port; must submit performance test data 60 days after end of test with the Notification of Compliance Status report; and keep data for 5 years.	Yes	No.
§ 63.7(h)	Waiver of Tests	Procedures for Administrator to waive performance test.	Yes	No.
§ 63.8(a)(1)	Applicability of Monitoring Requirements.	Subject to all monitoring requirements in standard.	Yes	Yes.
§ 63.8(a)(2)	Performance Specifications.	Performance Specifications in appendix B of 40 CFR part 60 apply.	Yes	No.
§ 63.8(a)(3)	[Reserved]			
§ 63.8(a)(4)	Monitoring with Flares		No	No.
§ 63.8(b)(1)	Monitoring	Must conduct monitoring according to standard unless Administrator approves alternative.	Yes	Yes.
§ 63.8(b)(2)–(3)	Multiple Effluents and Multiple Monitoring Systems.	Specific requirements for installing monitoring systems; must install on each effluent before it is combined and before it is released to the atmosphere unless Administrator approves otherwise; if more than one monitoring sys- tem on an emission point, must report all monitoring system results, unless one moni- toring system is a backup.	Yes	Yes.
§ 63.8(c)(1)	Monitoring System Op- eration and Mainte- nance.	Maintain monitoring system in a manner consistent with good air pollution control practices.	Applies as modified by § 63.5990(e) and (f).	No.
§ 63.8(c)(1)(i)	Routine and Predictable SSM.		No	No.
§ 63.8(c)(1)(ii)	SSM not in SSMP		No	No.
§ 63.8(c)(1)(iii)	Compliance with Operation and Maintenance Requirements.	How Administrator determines if source com- plying with operation and maintenance re- quirements; review of source operation and maintenance procedures, records, manufac- turer's instructions, recommendations, and in- spection of monitoring system.	Yes	Yes.
§ 63.8(c)(2)–(3)	Monitoring System Installation.	Must install to get representative emission and parameter measurements; must verify oper- ational status before or at performance test.	Yes	No.
§ 63.8(c)(4)	Continuous Monitoring System (CMS) Re- quirements.		Applies as modified by § 63.5990(f).	No.
§ 63.8(c)(5)	Continuous Opacity Monitoring Systems (COMS) Minimum Procedures.		No	No.
§ 63.8(c)(6)	CMS Requirements		Applies as modified by § 63.5990(e).	No.
§63.8(c)(7)-(8)	CMS Requirements	Out-of-control periods, including reporting	Yes	No.
§ 63.8(d)	CMS Quality Control		Applies as modified by § 63.5990(e) and (f).	No.
§ 63.8(e)	CMS Performance Evaluation.		No	No.
§ 63.8(f)(1)–(5)	Alternative Monitoring Method.	Procedures for Administrator to approve alternative monitoring.	Yes	Yes.
§ 63.8(f)(6)	Alternative to Relative Accuracy Test.		No	No.
§ 63.8(g)	Data Reduction		Applies as modified by § 63.5990(f).	No.
§63.9(a)	Notification Require-	Applicability and state delegation	Yes	Yes.
	ments.			l

	Subject	Brief description of applicable sections	Applicable to Subpart XXXX?	
Citation			Using a control device	Not using a control device
§ 63.9(b)(1)-(5)	Initial Notifications	Submit notification 120 days after effective date; notification of intent to construct/reconstruct, notification of commencement of construct/reconstruct, notification of startup; and contents of each.	Yes	Yes.
§ 63.9(c)	Request for Compliance Extension.	Can request if cannot comply by date or if in- stalled best available control technology or lowest achievable emission rate.	Yes	Yes.
§ 63.9(d)	Notification of Special Compliance Require- ments for New Source.	For sources that commence construction be- tween proposal and promulgation and want to comply 3 years after effective date.	Yes	Yes.
§63.9(e)	Notification of Perform- ance Test.	Notify Administrator 60 days prior	Yes	No.
§ 63.9(f)	Notification of VE/Opacity Test.	No	No.	
§ 63.9(g)	Additional Notifications When Using CMS.	No	No.	
§ 63.9(h)	Notification of Compliance Status.	Contents; due 60 days after end of performance test or other compliance demonstration, except for opacity/VE, which are due 30 days after; when to submit to Federal vs. State authority.	Yes	Yes.
§ 63.9(i) § 63.9(j)	Adjustment of Submittal Deadlines. Change in Previous In-	Procedures for Administrator to approve change in when notifications must be submitted.  Must submit within 15 days after the change	Yes	Yes.
§ 63.10(a)	formation. Recordkeeping/Report-	Applies to all, unless compliance extension;	Yes	Yes.
	ing.	when to submit to Federal vs. State authority; procedures for owners of more than 1 source.		V
§ 63.10(b)(1)	Recordkeeping/Report- ing.	General Requirements; keep all records readily available; and keep for 5 years	Yes	Yes.
§ 63.10(b)(2)(i)- (iv).	Records related to Startup, Shutdown, and Malfunction	Yes	No.	
§ 63.10(b)(2)(vi) and (x)–(xi).	CMS Records	Malfunctions, inoperative, out-of-control; calibration checks; adjustments, maintenance.	Yes	
§ 63.10(b)(2) (vii)–(ix).	Records	Measurements to demonstrate compliance with emission limitations; performance test, per- formance evaluation, and visible emission ob- servation results; and measurements to deter- mine conditions of performance tests and per- formance evaluations.	Yes	Yes.
§ 63.10(b)(2) (xii).	Records	Records when under waiver	Yes	Yes.
§ 63.10(b)(2) (xiii).	Records		No	No.
§ 63.10(b)(2) (xiv).	Records	All documentation supporting Initial Notification and Notification of Compliance Status.	Yes	Yes.
§ 63.10(b)(3) § 63.10(c)	Records	Applicability determinations	Yes No	Yes. No.
§ 63.10(d)(1)	General Reporting Requirements.	Requirement to report	Yes	Yes.
§ 63.10(d)(2)	Report of Performance Test Results.	When to submit to Federal or State authority	Yes	No.
§ 63.10(d)(3)	Reporting Opacity or VE Observations.		No	No.
§ 63.10(d)(4)	Progress Reports	Must submit progress reports on schedule if under compliance extension.	Yes	Yes.
§ 63.10(d)(5)	Startup, Shutdown, and Malfunction Reports.		Yes	No.
§ 63.10(e) § 63.10(f)	Additional CMS Reports Waiver for Record-	Procedures for Administrator to waive	No Yes	No. Yes.
§ 63.11	keeping/Reporting.		No	No.
§ 63.12	Delegation	State authority to enforce standards	Yes	Yes.
§ 63.13	Addresses	Addresses where reports, notifications, and requests are sent.	Yes	Yes.
§ 63.14	Incorporation by Reference.	Test methods incorporated by reference	Yes	Yes.

Citation	Subject	Brief description of applicable sections	Applicable to Subpart XXXX?	
			Using a control device	Not using a control device
§ 63.15	Availability of Information.	Public and confidential information	Yes	Yes.

### Subpart YYYY—National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants for Stationary Combustion Turbines

SOURCE: 69 FR 10537, Mar. 5, 2004, unless otherwise noted.

WHAT THIS SUBPART COVERS

## §63.6080 What is the purpose of subpart YYYY?

Subpart YYYY establishes national emission limitations and operating limitations for hazardous air pollutants (HAP) emissions from stationary combustion turbines located at major sources of HAP emissions, and requirements to demonstrate initial and continuous compliance with the emission and operating limitations.

#### § 63.6085 Am I subject to this subpart?

You are subject to this subpart if you own or operate a stationary combustion turbine located at a major source of HAP emissions.

(a) Stationary combustion turbine means all equipment, including but not limited to the turbine, the fuel, air, lubrication and exhaust gas systems, control systems (except emissions control equipment), and any ancillary components and sub-components comprising any simple cycle stationary combustion turbine, any regenerative/ recuperative cycle stationary combustion turbine, the combustion turbine portion of any stationary cogeneration cycle combustion system, or the combustion turbine portion of any stationary combined cycle steam/electric generating system. Stationary means that the combustion turbine is not self propelled or intended to be propelled while performing its function, although it may be mounted on a vehicle for portability or transportability. Stationary combustion turbines covered by this subpart include simple cycle stationary combustion turbines, regenerative/recuperative cycle stationary combustion turbines, cogeneration cycle stationary combustion turbines, and combined cycle stationary combustion turbines. Stationary combustion turbines subject to this subpart do not include turbines located at a research or laboratory facility, if research is conducted on the turbine itself and the turbine is not being used to power other applications at the research or laboratory facility.

(b) A major source of HAP emissions is a contiguous site under common control that emits or has the potential to emit any single HAP at a rate of 10 tons (9.07 megagrams) or more per year or any combination of HAP at a rate of 25 tons (22.68 megagrams) or more per year, except that for oil and gas production facilities, a major source of HAP emissions is determined for each surface site.

## §63.6090 What parts of my plant does this subpart cover?

This subpart applies to each affected source.

- (a) Affected source. An affected source is any existing, new, or reconstructed stationary combustion turbine located at a major source of HAP emissions.
- (1) Existing stationary combustion turbine. A stationary combustion turbine is existing if you commenced construction or reconstruction of the stationary combustion turbine on or before January 14, 2003. A change in ownership of an existing stationary combustion turbine does not make that stationary combustion turbine a new or reconstructed stationary combustion turbine.
- (2) New stationary combustion turbine. A stationary combustion turbine is new if you commenced construction of the stationary combustion turbine after January 14, 2003.
- (3) Reconstructed stationary combustion turbine. A stationary combustion turbine is reconstructed if you meet the